



४६/४६  
४६/४६

# भारत का विज्ञापन

## The Gazette of India

प्राप्तिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

वर्ष 18]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, चूर्ण 3, 1986/वैसाख 13, 1908

No. 18] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 3, 1986/VAISAKHA 13, 1908

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दो भासी है जिससे कि प्रहृष्ट अवधारणा संकलन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके।

Separate Filing is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation.

भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii)  
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़ कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों द्वारा जारी किए गए सांविधिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं  
statutory orders and Notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence)

मार्फियां और स्टेट इंफोरमेशन सथा एंक्शन मंत्रालय  
(पेशन और पेशनदारी कल्याण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 18 अप्रैल, 1986

मा. आ. 1803.—ग्राम्यपत्रि, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के प्रश्नात्मक और आधुनिक 148 के खंड (5) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों जा प्रयोग करने हुए, भारतीय नेतृत्व परिषद् और नेतृत्व विभाग में नेतृत्व अधिकारियों के उन्नेप्रे जो भारत के नियंत्रक महानेत्रा परीक्षक से परमार्थ कारने के पश्चात्, अधिकारी अधिकारी अधिकारी नियम नियम (भारत), 1962 में और संशोधन करने के लिए नियमित नियम बनाने हैं, अधीक्षत् :

1. (1) इन नियमों का नाम अधिकारी अधिकारी नियम (संशोधन) नियम, 1986 है।

(2) दो ग्रन्तकाल में प्रत्यापन की तारीख को प्रदृश्ट होने।

2. अधिकारी अधिकारी नियम (भारत), 1962 के नियम 16 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) में “15 वर्ष” अकों और शब्द के अंतराल पर “इस वर्ष” शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

[मंज्या 13(4)-पेशन/84(सी. पी. एफ.)]

हुजारा सिंह, उप सचिव,

भारत सरकार

टिप्पण: अनियावी संघिय नियम (भारत), 1962 (31-3-1973 तक शुरूहुत) 1973 में प्रकाशित हुए थे। तत्पश्चात् नियमों का संशोधन नियमित अधिसूचनाओं द्वारा किया गया :

1. 16(2) ई वी/73 तारीख 18-9-1973
2. एफ. 32(3)-ई वी/67 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 26-10-1973
3. एफ. 32(3) ई वी/67 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 22-12-1973
4. एफ. 2(2) ई वी (बी)/71 तारीख 29-5-1974
5. एफ. 13(1) ई वी (बी)/73 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 28-6-1974
6. एफ. 13(3) ई वी (बी)/74 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 5-10-1974
7. एफ. 16(2) ई वी (बी)/72 तारीख 9-10-1974
8. एफ. 13(4) ई वी (बी)/74 तारीख 10-10-1974
9. एफ. 2(62) (1) ई वी (बी)/71 सी. पी. एफ. तारीख 14-10-1974
10. एफ. 24017/1/75 ई वी (बी) तारीख 28-2-1975
11. एफ. 13(3) ई वी (बी)/75 तारीख 28-4-1975
12. एफ. 2(62) (i) ई वी (बी)/71 तारीख 18-7-1975
13. एफ. 13(4) ई वी (बी)/75 तारीख 28-10-1975
14. एफ. 10(8) ई वी (बी)/75 तारीख 12-1-1976
15. एफ. 13(i) ई वी (बी)/76 तारीख 27-1-1976
16. एफ. 13(5) ई वी (बी)/75 तारीख 15-5-1976
17. एफ. 13(6) ई वी (बी)/76 तारीख 30-6-1976

18. एक. 13 (7) ई बी (बी)/76 सारीख 26-7-1976  
 19. एक. 13(3) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 17-11-1926  
 30. एक. 13(8) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 10-12-1976  
 21. एक. 16(4) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 17-12-1976  
 22. एक. 10(8) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 19-2-1977  
 23. एक. 13(9) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 25-2-1977  
 24. एक. 13(ii) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 28-4-1977  
 25. एक. 13(10) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 5-9-1977  
 26. एक. 13(4) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 18-10-1977  
 27. एक. 13(10) ई बी (बी)/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 21-1-1978  
 28. एक. 13(7) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 23-1-1978  
 29. एक. 20(25) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 13-3-1978  
 30. एक. 13(5) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 30-3-1978  
 31. एक. 13(7) ई बी (बी)/77 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 22-4-1978  
 32. एक. 13(ii) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 30-5-1979  
 33. एक. 17(5) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 18-6-1979  
 34. एक. 19(15) पेन/76 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 9-8-1979  
 35. एक. 9(2) ई बी (बी)/78 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 13-11-1979  
 36. एक. 10(10) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 3-3-1980  
 37. एक. 20(22) ई बी (बी)/पेन 79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 18-4-1980  
 38. एक. 13(6) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 18-1-1980  
 39. एक. 16(2) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 12-6-1980  
 40. एक. ii(i) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 1-10-1980  
 41. एक. 16(3) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 13-10-1980  
 42. एक. 10(2) पेन/81 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 21-12-1981  
 43. एक. 13(3) पेन/82 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 30-4-1983  
 44. एक. 19(2) पेन/80 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 10-5-1983  
 45. एक. 16(3) पेन/79 सी. पी. एक. 18-5-1983  
 46. एक. 19(1) पेन/83 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 20-5-1983  
 47. एक. 20(10) पेन/81 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 30-7-1983  
 48. एक. 13(1) पेन/85 सी. पी. एक. सारीख 19-6-1985

#### MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES & PENSIONS

(Department of Pension and Pensioners' Welfare)

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

S.O. 1823.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to article 309 and clause (5) of article 148 of the Constitution of India, the President, after consultation with the Comptroller and Auditor General of India in relation to persons serving in the Indian Audit and Accounts Department hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, namely :—

1. (1) These rules may be called the Contributory Provident Fund (Amendment) Rules, 1986.

(2) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, in rule 16, in sub-rule (i) in clause (B), for the words "fifteen years", the words "ten years" shall be substituted.

[No. 13(4)-Pen/84-(CPF)]  
 HAZARA SINGH, Dy. Secy.

NOTE :—The Contributory Provident Fund Rules (India), 1962, (corrected upto 31-3-1973) were published in 1973. Rules were subsequently amended vide the Notification mentioned below :—

1. 16(2)-EV/73 dated 18-9-1973.
2. F. 32(3)-EV/87/CPF dated 28-10-1973

3. F. 32(3)-EV/67-CPF dated 22-12-1973
4. F. 2(2)-EV(B)/71 dated 29-5-1974
5. F. 13(1)-EV(B)/73-CPF dated 28-6-1974
6. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/74-CPF dated 5-10-1974
7. F. 16(2)-EV(B)/72 dated 9-10-1974
8. F. 13(4)-EV(E)/74 dated 10-10-1974
9. F. 2(62)(1)-EV(B)/71-CPF dated 14-10-1974
10. F. 24017/1175-FV(B) dated 28-2-1975.
11. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/75 dated 28-4-1975
12. F. 2(62)(i)-EV(B)/71 dated 18-7-1975
13. F. 13(4)-EV(B)/71 dated 28-10-1975
14. F. 10(3)-EV(B)/75 dated 12-1-1976
15. F. 13(i)-EV(B)/76 dated 27-1-1976
16. F. 13(5)-EV(B)/75 dated 15-5-1976
17. F. 13(6)-EV(B)/76 dated 30-6-1976
18. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/76 dated 26-7-1976
19. F. 13(3)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 17-11-1976
20. F. 13(8)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 10-12-1976
21. F. 16(4)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 17-12-1976
22. F. 10(8)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 19-2-1977
23. F. 13(9)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 25-2-1977
24. F. 13(ii)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 28-4-1977
25. F. 13(10)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 5-9-1977
26. F. 13(4)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 18-10-1977
27. F. 13(10)-EV(B)/76-CPF dated 21-1-1978
28. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 23-1-1978
29. F. 20(25)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 13-3-1978
30. F. 13(5)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 30-3-1978
31. F. 13(7)-EV(B)/77-CPF dated 22-4-1978
32. F. 13(ii)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 30-5-1979
33. F. 17(5)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 18-6-1979
34. F. 19(15)-Pen/76-CPF dated 9-8-1979
35. F. 9(2)-EV(B)/78-CPF dated 13-11-1979
36. F. 10(10)-Pen/79-CPF dated 3-3-1980
37. F. 20(22)-EV(B)/Pen/79-CPF dated 18-4-1980
38. F. 13(6)-Pen/79-CPF dated 18-4-1980
39. F. 16(2)-Pen/79-CPF dated 12-6-1980
40. F. ii(i)-Pen/77-CPF dated 1-10-1980
41. F. 16(3)-Pen/79-CPF dated 13-10-1980
42. F. 10(2)-Pen/81-CPF dated 21-12-1981
43. F. 13(3)-Pen/82-CPF dated 30-4-1983
44. F. 19(2)-Pen/80-CPF dated 10-5-1983
45. F. 16(3)-Pen/79-CPF dated 18-5-1983
46. F. 19(1)-Pen/83-CPF dated 20-5-1983
47. F. 20(10)-Pen/81-CPF dated 30-7-1983
48. F. 13(1)-Pen/85-CPF dated 19-6-1985

गृह मंत्रालय आंतरिक सुरक्षा विभाग  
 (पुनर्वापि प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1824--विस्थापित व्यक्तिक्रम (प्रतिकार तथा पुनर्वापि) अधिनियम, 1954 (1954 का 44) के धारा 34 की उपषासा (2) द्वारा प्रदत्त क्रियाओं का प्रयोग करते हुए, मैं इस विभाग के दिनांक 17 अप्रैल, 1986 के अधितृचक्षण अंक्या-4 (42)/83-एस. 11 (से.) द्वारा शहरी विकास मंत्रालय के अंतर्गत भवित तथा विकास कार्यालय में उन गुण्य व्यवस्थाएँ आयुक्त के रूप में तित्रुक्त भूमि तथा विकास अधिकारी की मुख्य व्यवस्थाएँ आयुक्त के नियन्त्रित व्यक्तियों सौंपता हूँ :—

(1) उक्त अधिनियम के धारा 23 के अधान अपूर्ण सुनने की शक्तियाँ।

(2) उक्त अधिनियम के धारा 34 के अवधारणा पुनर्वापि सुनने की शक्तियाँ।

[सं. 4(42)/83-इ. एस. II (बी)]

जी.पी.एस.साही, मुख्य व्यवस्थाएँ  
 आयुक्त

## MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

(Department of Internal Security)  
(Rehabilitation Division)

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

S.O. 1824.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 34 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), I hereby delegate to the Land and Development Officer in the Land and Development Office under the Ministry of Urban Development appointed as Deputy Chief Settlement Commissioner, vide this Department's Notification No. 4(42)/83-SS. II(C), dated the 17th April, 1986, the following powers of the Chief Settlement Commissioner :—

- (i) Powers to hear appeals under Section 23 of the said Act.
- (ii) Powers to hear revisions under Section 24 of the said Act.

[No. 4(42)/83-SS. II(D)]

G. P. S. SAHI, Chief Settlement Commissioner

## विद्युत विभाग

(राजस्व विभाग)

आदेश

दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1986

स्टाम्प

का. आ. 1825.—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का) की आरा 9 की उपधारा (1) के बांध (ब) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा भारतीय आवासीजन लिमिटेड, बालकला को केवल तीन लाख पचहत्तर हजार रुपए के उस समेकित स्टाम्प शुल्क की आवासीजन करों की प्रनुभति देती है जो उनके कम्पनी द्वारा जारी किए जाने वाले पांच करोड़ रुपए के अंकित मूल्य के “500 लाख रुपए के 15 % असम्पर्वतीय अणपत्रों पर स्टाम्प शुल्क के कारण प्रभावी है।

[सं. 17/86-स्टाम्प-का, गं. 33/18/86-वि. क]  
श्री. आर. मेहमी, अवर सचिव

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

## ORDER

New Delhi, the 17th April, 1986

## STAMPS

S.O. 1825.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of the section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Govt. hereby permits the Indian Oxygen Limited, Calcutta to pay consolidated stamp duty of Three lakhs and seventy five thousand rupees only, chargeable on account of the stamp duty on “15 per cent Non-convertible Debentures of Rs. 500 lakhs” of the face value of five crores rupees to be issued by the said company.

[No. 17/86-Stamp-F. No. 33/18/86-ST]  
B. R. MEHMI, Under Secy.

## केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमा-शुल्क बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 3 मई, 1986

सं. 305/86-सीमा-शुल्क

का.आ. 1826 :—केन्द्रीय उत्पाद-शुल्क और सीमा शुल्क बोर्ड, सीमा-शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की

धारा 9 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, गुजरात राज्य के भड़ौच ज़िले में पनोसी स्थित गुजरात औद्योगिक विकास निगम औद्योगिक एस्टेट को, शत प्रतिशत निर्यातीनमुख एककों को स्थापित करने के प्रयोजनों के लिये भांडागार स्टेशन ओपिट करती है।

[फा.सं. 473/669/85-सी.०४०-७]

संदीप जोशी, अवर सचिव

## CENTRAL BOARD OF EXCISE AND CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 3rd May, 1986

## NO. 305/86-CUSTOMS

S.O. 1826.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Board of Excise and Customs hereby declares G.I.D.C. Industrial Estate at Panoli in District Bharuch in the State of Gujarat to be a warehousing station for the purposes of setting up hundred per cent export-oriented units.

[F. No. 473/669/85-CUS. VII]

SANDEEP JOSHI, Under Secy.

## आधिकारीक भाष्य विभाग

(वैकासन प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 14 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1827.—सरकार स्थान (उप.धिकृत अधिकारीयों के वेदान्त); अधिनियम, 1971 (1971 का 40) की आरा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा निम्न सारणी के कानून (1) में उल्लिखित उन अधिकारीयों को नियुक्त करती है जो सरकार के एत-प्रतिवेदित अधिकारीयों के स्तर के समक्ष अधिकारी होंगे और उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोग के लिये समस्या अधिकारी (एस्टेट अफसर) होंगे। ये अधिकारी प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए उक्त अधिनियम द्वारा या उसके अधीन उक्त सारणी के कानून (2) में उल्लिखित सरकारी स्थानों के संबंध में सम्पदा अधिकारीयों (एस्टेट ऑफिसर) को भी रोपे गये दस्तावेजों की पूरा करें।

[सारणी:

अधिकारी का पद

सरकार स्थानों के श्रेणियों और अधिकारी

की त्रैयों का स्थान समाप्त

(1)

उप महा प्रबन्धपाल,  
विजया बैंक,  
गुजरात वायनाड, ज़िल्हा सर्कार  
1-4, महारास्ट्रा पाली, रोड़,  
बोंगूर-560011

गद्दापाल पहाड़ प्रबन्धपाल,  
विजया बैंक,  
मण्डल कावलिय,

गजमहल, 6 माँजिल,  
8-4, वर्क नर मन रोड़,  
वर्मवाई-400011

विजया बैंक के अधीका उसके द्वारा अपका उपका और से पट्टे पर लिये गये और और महाराष्ट्र राज्य को डॉड्स, मारुति के लिये भा. भा. में अवासेवत स्थान।

(2)

विजया बैंक अपका उसके द्वारा अपका उपका लोर से पट्टे गर लिये गये और महाराष्ट्र राज्य में अवस्थित स्थान।

(रुक्षा 13/3/86-5-वं. औ. -III)  
एम.एस.साहारामन, अवर सचिव

**(Department of Economic Affairs  
(Banking Division)**

New Delhi, 14th April, 1986

S.O. 1827—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Public Premises (Eviction of Unauthorised Occupants) Act, 1971 (40 of 1971), the Central Government hereby appoint the officers mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, being officers equivalent to the rank of gazetted officers of Government, to be Estate Officers for the purposes of the said Act, who shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the estate officers by or under the said Act in respect of the public premises specified in column (2) of the said Table.

## THE TABLE

Designation of the officer	Categories of public premises and local limits of jurisdiction.
(1)	(2)
Deputy General Manager, Vijaya Bank, Head Office, Trinity Circle, 14, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bangalore-560001.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the Vijaya Bank anywhere in India other than the State of Maharashtra.
Assistant General, Manager, Vijaya Bank, Divisional Office Rajmahal, 6th Floor, 84, Veer Nariman Road, Bombay-400020.	Premises belonging to or taken on lease by or on behalf of the Vijaya Bank in the State of Maharashtra.

[No. 13/3/85-BO. III]

## वाणिज्य मंत्रालय

(मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात एवं निर्धात का कार्यालय)

नई दिल्ली, 14 अप्रैल, 1986

आदेश

का. आ. 1828.—श्रीमती ग्रेसी जान पल्लो श्री सेम्यूथन जान, टी  
ई एस सी ओ, पी. आ. बाक्स 2407, शारजाह की नर्सिंज वेंज सेल्यूम  
200 डी, 1984 मंडिल कार के आयात के लिए 96,300 रु. का  
सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट स. पी./वि 3053603, दिनांक 11-2-86  
दिया गया था। आवेदक ने उत्तरीकृत सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट की  
अग्रुलिपि प्रति के लिए इस आवार पर आवेदन किया है कि भूल सीमा  
शुल्क निकासी परमिट खो गया/प्रस्तावनहूँ हो गया है। आवेदक भी  
बताया है कि भूल सीमा शुल्क निकासी परमिट इनी भी सीमा शुल्क  
प्राविकारी के पास पर्याप्त नहीं था जिससे कि सीमा शुल्क निकासी  
परमिट के मूल्य की बिल्कुल उत्तीर्ण में नहीं लाया गया था।

अपने तर्क के समर्थन में लाइसेंसवारी ने उत्त्यकृत व्याधिक प्राचिकारी के सम्मुख विधिवत् शाय लेकर एक शराब वत् दाखिल किया है। उद्दृत-सार में संबुल्ट हूँ कि मूल सौना शुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/ 3053603, दिनक 11-2-86 आवेदक से खो गया है। सभ्य समय पर यथा संबोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) आदिग, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 के उप खंड 9 (सी सी) के अंदरौत उत्त्यकृत व्याधिकारी का प्रशील करते हुए श्रीमती ब्रेती जौन को जारी किया गया उत्त मूल सौना शुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जे/3053603, दिनांक 11-2-86 एतद्वारा रद्द किया जाता है।

3. गोमा घुलक निकासा परमिट की इन्सुलिपि प्रति पार्टी की इलग से जारी की जा रही है।

[फा. सं. ए/जे-49/85/86-बी. एल. एस. 100]

## MINISTRY OF COMMERCE

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports & Exports)

## ORDER

- New Delhi, the 14th April, 1986

S.O. 1828.—Mrs. Gracy John W/o. Mr. Samuel John, TESCO, P.O. Box 2407 Sharjah was granted a Custom Clearance Permit No. P/J/3053603 dt. 11-2-86 for Rs. 96,300 only for import of Mercedes Ben Saloom 200 D, 1984 Model car. The applicant has applied for issue of Duplicate copy of the above mentioned Customs Clearance Permit on the ground that the original CCP has been misplaced|lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Customs authority and such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all.

2. In support of her contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit duly sworn before appropriate judicial authority I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. P/J/ 305360- dated 11-2-86 has been lost by the applicant. In exercise of the powers conferred under Sub-Clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/ 3053603 dt. 11-2-86 issued to Mrs. Gracy John is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit is being issued to the party separately.

[F. No. A/J-49/85-86/BLS/100]

श्रीमती वासुमती महेन्द्र कुमार पटेल, पी. ओ. बाक्स 3853, शारजाहा,  
यू.ए.ई को एक हान्डा एकोर्ड 1986 माडल कार का आयात करने के लिए  
रु. 99750/- साल का एक सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट सं. पी./जि/  
3053340 दिनांक 10-1-86 दिया गया था। आवेदक ने उक्त सीमा-  
शुल्क निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति जारी करने का इस आधार पर  
आवेदन किया है कि मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट अस्थानस्थ/बो गई  
है। आर्ये यह भी कहा गया है कि मूल सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट को  
किसी सीमाशुल्क प्राधिकारी के पास अंजीकृत नहीं करवाया गया था इस  
प्रकार सीमाशुल्क निकासी परमिट के मूल्य का बिल्कुल भी उपयोग नहीं  
किया गया है।

2. अपने तर्क के समर्थन में लाइसेंस धारक ने उचित न्यायिक प्राप्ति-कारी के सम्मुख विविध शपथ लेकर एक शपथपत्र दाखिल किया है। तदनुसार मैं संतुष्ट हूँ कि मूल सीमायुक्त निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जि/3053340 दिनांक 10-1-86 अवैदिक हारा खो गई है। समयसमय पर यथा संशोधित आयात (नियंत्रण) अद्वेष, 1955 दिनांक 7-12-1955 की उपधारा 9 (सी सी) हारा प्रदर्श अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए श्रीमती बालुमती महेन्द्र पटेल को जारी उत्तर सीमायुक्त निकासी परमिट सं. पी/जि/3053340. दिनांक 10-1-86 को एतद्वारा रद किया जाता है।

3. पार्टी को सीमावृत्तक निकासी परमिट की अनुलिपि प्रति को अलग से जारी किया जा रहा है।

[फा. सं. ए/पी-६१/८५-८६/बी एल एस/९५]

एन. एस. कृष्णमूर्ति, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात  
कंते मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-निर्यात

S.O. 1329.—Mrs. Vasumati Mahendra Kumar Patel P.O. Box 3853, Sharjah, UAE was granted a Customs Clearance Permit No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 for Rs. 99750 only for import of One Honda Accord 1986 Model car. The applicant has applied for issue of Duplicate copy of the above mentioned Customs Clearance Permit on the ground that the

original CCP has been misplaced/lost. It has further been stated that the original CCP was not registered with any Customs authority and such the value of the CCP has not been utilised at all.

2. In support of his contention, the licensee has filed an affidavit duly sworn before appropriate judicial authority. I am accordingly satisfied that the original CCP No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 has been lost by the applicant. In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-Clause 9(cc) of the Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7-12-1955 as amended from time to time, the said original CCP No. P/J/3053340 dt. 10-1-86 issued to Mrs. Vasumati Mahendra Kumar Patel is hereby cancelled.

3. A duplicate copy of the Customs Clearance Permit is being issued to the party separately.

[F. No. A/P-61/85-86/BLS/95]

N. S. KRISHNAMURTHY, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

For Chief Controller of Imports & Exports

नई दिल्ली, 24 जून, 1985

निरसनी आदेश

का.आ. 1830.—भवें थो नारियलस हैंडीक्राफ्ट्स, मोती भवन, रानी बजार, सहारनपुर को एक अधिकार साइरेंस सं. पी./के/3025134/सी/एक्स. एक्स/91/डी/83 दिनांक 3-5-84 को वाम स्क्रीन 12686 किलोग्राम के आयात के लिए 1,52,200/- रु. का जारी किया गया था।

फर्म ने यह सूचित किया है कि उक्त अधिकार साइरेंस की दोनों कार्यालयों (एक्सचेंज एवं कस्टम प्रयोजन) एवं इससे सम्बन्धित ही हैं सी युक सं. 010449 और 010550 (बम्बई) दिनांक 5-5-84 को जारी की गई है, जिनमें किसी अन्दरागाह अधिकारी के पास पंजीकृत किए एवं बिल्कुल ही बिना उपयोग किए कहरे छो गई हैं /चोरी हो गई हैं।

प्रधानमंत्री को इस कथन के समर्थन में इन एक शपथ-प्रकल्प, आयात-निर्यात की कार्यविधि पुस्तिका 1985-88 के पैरा 85-87 के अन्तर्गत प्रस्तुत किया है।

मैं सम्मुच्छ हूँ कि उक्त आयात साइरेंस की मूल कस्टम प्रयोजन एवं एक्सचेंज कन्ट्रोल एवं दोनों द्वारा ही हैं सी बुक छो गई हैं/चोरी हो गई हैं।

अतः आयात-व्यापार नियंत्रण आदेश 1955 दि. 7-12-55 (यथा संशोधित) यी धारा 9 (शी) में प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए मैं उपरोक्त साइरेंस सं. 3025134 दि. 3-5-84 की मूल कस्टम एवं एक्सचेंज एवं दोनों द्वारा ही हैं सी बुक कार्पी का निरसन करने का आदेश देता हूँ।

प्रधानमंत्री पर अब आयात-नियात की कार्यविधि पुस्तिका 1985-88 के पैरा 85-87 के अनुसार उक्त साइरेंस सं. पी/के/5025139 दि. 3-5-84 की कस्टम एवं एक्सचेंज कार्पी की अनुसारिणी (हूलीफेट कार्पी) जारी करने पर जिचार किया जाएगा।

[का. स.एवयास/साइरेंस/यूक.एस-586 एम 84 एलएम II/स.एलए]

एस. पौ. चौहान, उप मुख्य नियंत्रक, आयात-नियात कूल समुद्र भूम्य नियंत्रक, आयात-नियात

New Delhi, the 24th June, 1985  
CANCELLATION ORDER

S.O. 1830.—M/s. Oriental Handicrafts, Moti Bhawan, Rani Bazar, Saharanpur were granted advance licence No. P/K/3025134/C/XX/91/D/83 Dt. 3-5-84 for Rs. 1,52,200 for import of 12686 kgs of brass scrap.

The firm have reported that both custom and exchange copies of the said advance licence together with DEEC Book Nos. 010449 and 010550 (Bom) dt. 5-5-84 have been lost/stolen without having been registered with any port authority and having been not utilised at all.

The firm have filed an affidavit in support of the above statement as required under paras 85-87 of hand book of Import Export Procedure 1985-88. I am satisfied that the original custom purpose copy as well as exchange control

copy of the said advance licence and both DEEC books have been lost/stolen.

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under section 9(D) of Import (Control) Order, 1955 dt. 7-12-1955 as amended upto date, I hereby order cancellation of the said original custom clearance purpose copy as well as exchange control copy of the advance licence both DEEC books.

The applicant's case will now be considered for issue of duplicate customs purpose copy any exchange control copy of Import Licence No. P/K/3025134/C/XX/91/D/83 dt. 3-5-84 for Rs. 1,52,200 and also DEEC books Nos. 10549 & 10550 dt. 5-5-84 in accordance with para 85-87 of hand book of Import & Export Procedure-1985-88.

[F. Adv./1/1/UDES-588/A.M. 84/ALS.II/CLA]

S. L. CHOCHAN, Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports..

For Jr. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports

### प्रौद्योगिकी और प्राकृतिक गैस भ्रातालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 अगस्त, 1986

का. आ. 1831.—यह: प्रौद्योगिकी और प्राकृतिक गैस भ्रातालय (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का यज्ञ) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपकारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के कर्त्ता मंत्रालय, प्रौद्योगिकी विभाग की अधिसूचना का. आ. सं. 2138 तारीख 8-5-85 द्वारा केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उस अधिसूचना में सेवन अनुसूची में वित्तिविष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग के अधिकार को पाइपलाइनों को विभाने के लिए अनियंत्रित करने का अपना आवाय घोषित कर दिया था।

और यह: सभी प्राविकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपकारा (1) के अधीन सरकार को रिपोर्ट दे दी है।

और आगे, यह: केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करते के पश्चात् इस अधिसूचना में सेवन अनुसूची में वित्तिविष्ट भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विभाने के प्रयोग के लिए एतद्वारा अनियंत्रित किया जाता है।

प्रथम, यह: उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 8 की उपकारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त अनियंत्रित का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा आविष्ट करती है कि इस अधिसूचना में सेवन अनुसूची में वित्तिविष्ट उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार पाइपलाइन विभाने के प्रयोग के लिए एतद्वारा अनियंत्रित किया जाता है।

और आगे उस धारा की उपकारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त अनियंत्रितों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार निर्देश देती है कि उक्त भूमियों में उपयोग का अधिकार केन्द्रीय सरकार में निर्दित होने की वजाय तेव और प्राकृतिक गैस आयोग में, सभी बाधाओं से गुरुत रूप में, धीमां के प्रकाशन की इस तारीख को निहित होगा।

### प्रदुषकी

एन. के. ई. एस. ने एक ऐ. स. ए. से. एन. के. जी. जी. एस.

पायः—गुजरात, तिला :—भद्रमदाबाद, लालुका :—विस्तराम

नाम	रज. नं.	हेक्टेयर	मृत.	संचालीय
भद्रारीया	46/1	0	02	40
	46/5	0	00	96
	46/3	0	06	48

[स. O--12016/49/85-यो एन जी ए-4]

पी. के. राजगोपालन, देस्क अधिकारी

## MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM &amp; NATURAL GAS

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1831.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum S.O. 2183 dated 8-5-85 under sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline;

And whereas the Competent Authority has under Sub-Section (1) of Section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Government;

And further, whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report, decided to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the power conferred by sub-section (1) of the Section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said lands specified in the schedule appended to this notification hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of power conferred by sub-section (4) of that section, the Central Government directs that the right of user in the said lands shall instead of vesting in Central Government vests on this date of the publication of this declaration in the Oil & Natural Gas Commission free from encumbrances.

## SCHEDULE

Pipeline from NKEX to NKCH to NK GGS.  
STATE : Gujarat; DISTRICT : Ahmedabad; TALUKA : Viramgam

Village	Survey No.	Hectare	Acre	Centiare
BHATARIA	46/1	0	02	40
	46/5	0	00	96
	46/3	0	06	48

No. O-12016/49/85-ONGD-4]  
P.K. RAJAGOPALAN, Desk Officer

## स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण मंत्रालय

मई दिनी, 16 प्रैतीक, 1986

का. प्रा. सं. 1832.—भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की बारा 14 की उप-भारा (2) द्वारा प्रवत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद से परायण करने के बावजूद उक्त प्रविनियम की दूसरी अनुसूची में निम्नलिखित और संशोधित करती है, अर्थात् :

(क) उक्त अनुसूची के भाग - 1 में ;—

(1) "महाराष्ट्र" शीर्ष के अस्तर्गत आमुर्जेंदिक और दूनामी चिकित्सा पद्धतियाँ, बम्बई, संकाय से संबंधित कम संख्या 60 के साथने कालम 2, 3 और 4 में भौजूदा प्रविटियों के बावजूद निम्नलिखित प्रविटियाँ जोड़ी जाएँ; अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
---	---	---

"केलो आफ दी फेलटी एफ. एफ. ए. एम. 1971 से 1983 तक";  
आम आयुर्वेदिक मेडिसिन

(2) "राजस्थान" शीर्ष के अस्तर्गत राजस्थान विश्वविद्यालय, ज.-३ से संबंधित कम संख्या 91 "क" के भागे कालम 2, 3 और 4

में भौजूदा प्रविटियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविटियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएँ अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
"आयुर्वेदाचार्य"	—	1972 से 1980 तक
आयुर्वेद वृहस्पति	—	1972 से 1982 तक
आयुर्वेद चार्य	—	1981 से 1984 तक";

(ख) उक्त अनुसूची के भाग-2 में, :—

(1) "राजस्थान" शीर्ष के अस्तर्गत राजस्थान आयुर्वेदिक और दूनामी तिक्की कालेज, जयपुर से संबंधित कम संख्या 20 के भागे कालम 2, 3 और 4 में भौजूदा प्रविटियों के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित प्रविटियाँ प्रतिस्थापित की जाएँ, अर्थात् :—

2	3	4
"उमदे-तुक-हुकमा तबीब फाजिल	—	1930 से 1980 तक
	—	1930 से 1981 तक";

(2) "उत्तर प्रदेश" शीर्ष के अस्तर्गत कम संख्या 24 और तत्संबंधी प्रविटियों के बाद निम्नलिखित कम संख्या भी प्रविटियाँ जोड़ी जाएँ, अर्थात् :—

1	2	3	4
"25. जमियासुन भातामितुत-तिब	एम.पू.एम.एस.	1908 से 1942	
नक्का यूनानी बाल-जराहूत		तक";	
मेडिकल स्कूल			
इलाहाबाद			

[सं. बी-26015/10/81-ए. ई.]  
भार. एस. मायुर, प्रबर सचिव

नोट— भारतीय चिकित्सा केन्द्रीय परिषद अधिनियम, 1970 (1970 का 48) की दूसरी अनुसूची बाद में संशोधित की गयी है, देखिए :—

- (1) का. प्रा. संख्या 4068, दिनांक 30 नवम्बर, 1979
- (2) का. प्रा. संख्या 2635, दिनांक 18 सितम्बर, 1980
- (3) का. प्रा. संख्या 2313, दिनांक 20 अगस्त, 1981
- (4) का. प्रा. संख्या 2314, दिनांक 2 अगस्त, 1981
- (5) का. प्रा. संख्या 137, दिनांक 24 दिसम्बर, 1981
- (6) का. प्रा. संख्या 638, दिनांक 25 जनवरी, 1982
- (7) का. प्रा. संख्या 661, दिनांक 2 फरवरी, 1982
- (8) का. प्रा. संख्या 973, दिनांक 20 फरवरी, 1982
- (9) का. प्रा. संख्या 354(ई) दिनांक 6 मई, 1983
- (10) का. प्रा. संख्या 3550 दिनांक 5 सितम्बर, 1983
- (11) का. प्रा. संख्या 804 (ई) दिनांक 11 नवम्बर, 1983
- (12) का. प्रा. संख्या 462 (ई) दिनांक 23 जून, 1984
- (13) का. प्रा. संख्या 1911 दिनांक 17 मई, 1985
- (14) का. प्रा. संख्या 2745 दिनांक 29 मई, 1985
- (15) का. प्रा. संख्या 3404 दिनांक 5 जुलाई, 1985
- (16) का. प्रा. संख्या 4057 दिनांक 14 अगस्त, 1985
- (17) का. प्रा. संख्या 5603 दिनांक 2 दिसम्बर, 1985
- (18) का. प्रा. संख्या 5671 दिनांक 3 दिसम्बर, 1985

## MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1832.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 14 of the Indian Medicine Central Council Act 1970 (48 of 1970), the Central Government, after consulting the Central Council of Indian Medicine, hereby makes the following further amendment in the Second Schedule to the said Act, namely :—

(a) In Part I of the said Schedule,—

(1) under the heading "Maharashtra" against serial number 60 relating to Faculty of Ayurvedic and Unani Systems of Medicine, Bombay, in columns 2, 3 and 4, after the existing entries, the following entries shall be added, namely :—

2	3	4
"Follow of the Faculty of Ayurvedic Medicine	F.F.A.M.	From 1971 to 1983";

(2) under the heading "Rajasthan" against serial number 91 A relating to the Rajasthan University, Jaipur, in columns 2, 3 and 4, for the existing entries, the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

2	3	4
"Ayurvedacharya	.....	From 1972 to 1980
Ayurved Brihaspati	.....	From 1972 to 1982
Ayurvedacharya	.....	From 1981 to 1984";

In part II of the said schedule, :—

(1) under the heading "Rajasthan" against serial number 20 relating to the Rajputana Ayurvedic and Unani Tibbi College, Jaipur, in column 2, 3 and 4, for the existing entries the following entries shall be substituted, namely :—

2	3	4
"Umd-e-tul-Hukma	.....	From 1930 to 1980
Tibib Fazil	.....	From 1930 to 1981";

(2) under the heading "Uttar Pradesh" after serial number 24 and the entries relating thereto, the following serial number and the entries shall be added, namely :—

1	2	3	4
25. Jamiatun Naqba Unani Medical School	Matamidut- tib-wal-Jarahat	M.U.M.S.	From 1908 to 1942".
			Allahabad.

[No. V-6015/10/81-AE]

R. S. MATHUR, Under Secy.

Notes: The Second Schedule to the Indian Medicine Central Council Act, 1970 (48 of 1970) has been subsequently amended:—

- (1) S.O. No. 4068, dated the 30th November, 1979.
- (2) S.O. No. 2635, dated the 18th September, 1980.
- (3) S.O. No. 2313, dated the 20th August, 1981.
- (4) S.O. No. 2314, dated the 22nd August, 1981.
- (5) S.O. No. 137, dated the 24th December, 1981.
- (6) S.O. No. 638, dated the 25th January, 1982.
- (7) S.O. No. 661, dated the 2nd February, 1982.
- (8) S.O. No. 973, dated the 20th February, 1982.
- (9) S.O. No. 354 (E), dated the 6th May, 1983.
- (10) S.O. No. 3550, dated the 5th September, 1983.
- (11) S.O. No. 804 (E), dated the 11th November, 1983.
- (12) S.O. No. 462 (E), dated the 23rd June, 1984.
- (13) S.O. No. 1911, dated the 17th April, 1985.

- (14) S.O. No. 2745, dated the 29th May, 1985.
- (15) S.O. No. 3404, dated the 5th July, 1985.
- (16) S.O. No. 4057, dated the 14th August, 1985.
- (17) S.O. No. 5603, dated the 2nd December, 1985.
- (18) S.O. No. 5671, dated the 5th December, 1985.

## कृषि संबंधान

कृषि अनुसंधान और शिक्षा विभाग

(भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद्)

नई दिल्ली 10 मार्च, 1986

का. आ. 1833.—भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद् द्वारा नियमित स्थायी वित्त समिति विनियमों के विनियम 2 (iv) के प्रनुसारण में तथा कृषि उत्पाद उपकरण प्रधिनियम, 1940 की धारा 7 (2) में निहित प्रावधानों के प्रनुसारण में, श्री रमेश बिहारी सिंह, लोक सभा सदस्य को, जो शासी निकाय के भी सदस्य है, इस निकाय द्वारा दिनांक 21-12-85 से एक वर्ष की अवधि हेतु प्रधाना उस समय तक जब तक कि उत्तराधिकारी का विविध वित्त निर्वाचन हो, इन में से जो भी पहले हो उस समय तक के लिए स्थायी वित्त समिति के सदस्य के रूप में निर्वाचित कर लिया गया है।

[मि. सं. 2/(1)/85-समन्वय-I]

## MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE

(Department of Agricultural Research and Education)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

New Delhi, the 10th March, 1986

S.O. 1833.—In pursuance of Regulation 2(iv) of the Standing Finance Committee Regulations framed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and in pursuance of provision contained in Section 7(2) of the Agricultural Produce Cess Act, 1940, Shri Rana Vir Singh, Member Lok Sabha, member of Governing Body has been elected by that Body to be member of the Standing Finance Committee for a period of one year with effect from 21st December, 1985 or till such time as his successor is duly elected, whichever is later.

[F. No. 2(1)/85-CDN. I]

का. आ. 1834.—भारतीय कृषि अनुसंधान परिषद् द्वारा नियमित स्थायी वित्त समिति विनियमों के विनियम 2 (iv) के प्रनुसारण में तथा कृषि उत्पाद उपकरण प्रधिनियम, 1940 की धारा 7 (2) में निहित प्रावधानों के प्रनुसारण में, श्री रमेश बिहारी सिंह, लोक सभा सदस्य को, जो शासी निकाय के भी सदस्य है, इस निकाय द्वारा दिनांक 21-12-85 से एक वर्ष की अवधि हेतु प्रधाना उस समय तक जब तक कि उत्तराधिकारी का विविध वित्त निर्वाचन हो, इन में से जो भी पहले हो उस समय तक के लिए स्थायी वित्त समिति के सदस्य के रूप में निर्वाचित कर लिया गया है।

[मि. सं. 2/(1)/85-समन्वय-I]

एम. जी. मेनन, अवर सचिव

S.O. 1834.—In pursuance of Regulation 2(iv) of the Standing Finance Committee Regulations framed by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and in pursuance of provision contained in Section 7(2) of the Agricultural Produce Cess Act, 1940, Shri Rana Vir Singh, Member, Lok Sabha, member of Governing Body has been elected by that Body to be member of the Standing Finance Committee for a period of one year with effect from 21st December, 1985 or till such time as his successor is duly elected, whichever is later.

[F. No. 2(1)/85-CDN-I]  
M. G. MENON, Under Secy.

काश और नागरिक पर्ति अन्त्रालय

द्वादश विभाग

अलोग

१६ दिसंबर १९३७। १९१६

का. वा. 1835.—पश्च केवल यहां से वास्तविक अंग्रेज व्यापक दिव्यालयों, उनीं निषेधात्मक और व्यापक शिक्षण के बोतल तथा नीति कार्यालयों द्वारा प्रिय जीवों को खलाफों के फूट, मंड़ा-करण, संदर्भ, परिचय, विवाह तथा दिव्य के जूतों पर पालन करना बन्द कर दिया है जो यह नियम प्रतिक्रिया 1964(1961 का 37) ग्रन्थाग 13 के अधीन भारतीय संघ नियन के तहस है।

अर्थ याद विभाग, धोनीप यात्रा विभागों उपरि नियमित्यां पौर याद विभाग के बनार तथा लेखा यात्रियों में कार्य कर रहे और अधिकारियों द्वारा के पश्च में सभी विभागित कांचारा ने केन्द्र य संस्कार के नाम १० दशी १९७१ के परिषद के प्रस्तुत में उपर्युक्त विविध तारय के बंदर भवत याद विभाग के कांचारा नामों के अपने आण्य का उक्त अधिनियम का आया १३ ए कल्पना (१) के परन्तु इस यथा अवैक्षण दृष्टि नहीं द है,

अब अब यात्रा नियम अधिनियम, 1964 (1964 का 57) या असाम  
शास्त्रीयता के धारा 15 ए हाथ प्रदर्शन शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए वैदिक  
यात्रा एवं दूषण विश्वासाचित् पर्वतारा की उपरी पासें दा नहीं तार या  
से भारत में यात्रा में रखाना चाहिए जरूरी है। —

कल. अधिकारी/ सं. कानून	फ्रेड. लक्ष्मार का अधिकारी कर्तव्य	स्पेनिश भाषा के स्वतंत्रता के पद	भारतीय भाषा निराम में हासिलाय का लाभ
1. श्री राम सहनप	डिस्ट्रिक्टर	डिस्ट्रिक्टर	1-3-1969

(का संघा ५२/१/३-एक स-III)  
एक के, सभी अवर सचिव

**MINISTRY OF FOOD & CIVILI SUPPLIES  
(DEPARTMENT OF FOOD)**

**ORDER**

**ORDER**  
New Delhi, the 18th April, 1936.

S.O.1335:—Whereas the Central Government has ceased to perform the functions of purchase, storage, movement, transport, distribution and sale of foodgrains done by the Department of Food, the Regional Directors of Food, the permanent Directors and the Pay & Accounts Offices of the Department of Food which under section 13 of Food Corporations Act, 1964 (37 of 1964) are the functions of the Food Corporation of India;

1. And whereas the following employees serving in the Department of Food, the Regional Directorate of Food, the procurement Directorate and the Pay & Accounts Offices of the Department of Food and engaged in the performance of the functions mentioned above have not in response to the circular of the Central Government dated the 16th April, 1971 intimated, within the date specified, therein, their intention of not becoming employees of the Food Corporation of India as required by the proviso to sub-Section (1) of Section 17A of the said Act, :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17A of the Food Corporations Act, 1964 (37 of 1964) as amended upto-date the Central Government hereby transfer-

the following employes to the Food Corporation of India with effect from the date mentioned against him.

S.No.	Name:	Permanent of the Officer/ Employee	Post held under the Central Govt.	Post held under the Central Govt, at the time of transfer	Date of transfer
1.	Shri Ram Swaroop			Shift r	1-7-1969

मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय

( संस्कृति विभाग )

नई दिल्ली 3 अप्रैल 1986

का. आ. 1836.—सिनेपाटोप्राक (प्रमाणीकरण) नियमाला, 1983, के नियम 8 के उपनियम (1) और (2) के साथ पठित सिनेमाटोग्राफ अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) और नियम 7 के उपनियम (3) द्वारा प्रवत शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार फिल्म प्रमाणीकरण बोर्ड के साथ चिकार-विमर्श करते के पांचालि निम्नलिखित सदस्यों को सदास के उक्त बोर्ड के सलाहकार पैमल के सदस्यों के रूप में भगवे प्राइडेंसों तक तल्काल नियकत करती है:—

1. श्री सी. एस. जगराम
  2. श्री एम. मुख्यर राजन
  3. श्री एस. एन. वैकटरामानी
  4. श्री पोन परमार्गुरु
  5. डा. डी. सदाशिवम्
  6. श्री झे. वी. मुख्यमन्त्री
  7. शा. वी. भार. हेलवाराराजन
  8. श्रीमती वी. कमला प्रसाद
  9. श्रीमती घनिना प्रसाद
  10. श्री ए. वी. सोई प्रसाद
  11. श्री धार. भगवाना सिंह

फा. सं. 811/2/85-एफ. (सी)

**MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT  
(Department of Culture)**

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1836.—In exercise of the powers conferred by subsection (1) of Section 5 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 7 read with sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983, the Central Government hereby appoints the following persons after consultation with the Board of Film Certification, as Members of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Madras, with immediate effect until further orders :—

1. Shri G. S. Jayaram
  2. Shri M. Sundarrajan
  3. Shri S. N. Venkataaramani
  4. Shri Pon Paramaguru
  5. Dr. D. Sadasivan
  6. Shri J. V. Subramanyan
  7. Dr. V. R. Selvarajan
  8. Mrs. V. Kamala Prasad
  9. Smt. Anita Pratap
  10. Shri A. B. Sai Prasad
  11. Shri R. Bhagwan Singh

[File No. 811285-P(C)]

का. धा. 1837.—सिनेमाटोग्राफ (प्रमाणीकरण) नियमावली, 1983 के नियम 8 के उप नियम (1) के साथ पठित सिनेमाटोग्राफ अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केंद्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा यह निर्देश देती है कि निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति 15 अप्रैल, 1986 से फिल्म प्रमाणन बोर्ड के मंत्रालय सलाहकार पेनल के सदस्य नहीं रहेंगे :—

1. श्री शास्त्री रामचन्द्रन
2. डा. उषा राघवन
3. श्रीमती सारा संयद युसुफ
4. श्री डी. आर. के. राव
5. श्रीमती सारा चन्द्रा
6. श्रीमती रशिम पटेल
7. श्री एस. महादेवन
8. श्रीमती वेक्की मुख्या
9. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी राजाराम
10. श्रीमती अनुसुया माधव राव
11. श्रीमती अनुराधा अप्पा राव
12. श्रीमती जयस्ती प्रेमा राव
13. श्रीमती रेखा प्रसादकर
14. श्री के. मोहन रंगम
15. श्रीमती पी. सेथविनी
16. श्रीमती तिमला श्रीनिवासन
17. श्रीमती लक्ष्मी मेमन
18. श्रीमती रीता लक्ष्मीनारायण
19. श्रीमती सेषावामिनी मेनन
20. श्री आदित्य महापाल
21. श्रीमती गीता बंकर
22. श्रीमती कल्पना भट्ट
23. श्री श्रीहर्ष भट्ट
24. श्री एस. एन. कुमार
25. श्री बी. राधाकृष्ण
26. श्री के. एन. रघवेन्द्र राव
27. प्रो. के. के. गोवदा
28. श्रीमती लीला शोकर
29. श्रीमती शकुन्तला राव

[का. सं. 811/2/85-एफ (सी)]  
इकायाल हृष्ण, भवर सचिव

S.O. 1837.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 read with sub-rule (1) of rule 8 of the Cinematograph (Certification) Rules, 1983, the Central Government hereby directs that the following persons shall cease to be members of the Madras Advisory Panel of the Board of Film Certification with effect from 15th April, 1986.

1. Shri Shastri Ramachandran
2. Dr. Usha Raghavan
3. Smt. Sara Syed Yusuf
4. Shri D. R. K. Rao
5. Smt. Sara Chanda
6. Smt. Rashmi Patel
7. Shri S. Mahadevan
8. Smt. Devaki Muthia
9. Smt. Lakshmi Rajaram
10. Smt. Anusuya Madhava Rao
11. Smt. Anuradha Appa Rao
12. Smt. Jayanthi Prema Rao
13. Smt. Rekha Prabhakar
14. Shri K. Mohanarangam
15. Smt. P. Sowdamini
16. Smt. Nirmala Srinivasan
17. Smt. Lakshmi Menon

18. Smt. Rita Lakshmi Narain
19. Smt. Sowdamini Menon
20. Shri Aditya Mahapatra
21. Smt. Gita Bunker
22. Smt. Kalpana Bhatt
23. Shri Srikrishna Bhatt
24. Shri S. N. Kumar
25. Shri B. Radhakrishnan
26. Shri K. N. Raghavendra Rao
27. Prof. K. K. Gowda
28. Smt. Leela Shekar
29. Smt. Shakuntala Rao

[File No. 811/2/85-F(C)]  
IQBAL KRISHAN, Under Secy.

### परिवहन भवालय

(जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 11 अप्रैल, 1986

का. धा. 1838.—केन्द्रीय सरकार, दंपद्धर अधिनियम 1927 (1927 का 17) की धारा 11 और धारा 4 के उपधारा (1) के अनुसार में 11-4-86 से वी वर्षों की अवधि के लिए केन्द्रीय दंपद्धर सलाहकार समिति के नियुक्ति करती है जिसमें निम्नलिखित व्यक्ति शामिल है, अर्थात् :—

अध्यक्ष

1. सचिव परिवहन भवालय पदेन

परिवहन भवालय  
जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग  
सदस्य

2. भारत सरकार के नार्य सलाहकार जहाज भवन, वाल बन्द ४, राजनव मार्ग पदेन  
बम्बई-400001

3. वित्तीय सलाहकार परिवहन भवालय पदेन  
जल भूतल परिवहन विभाग

4. गंधे जल सर्वेक्षक भारत सरकार नी जल मर्मसंक कार्यालय पोस्ट बाक्स नं. 75 पदेन  
बैहराइन-143001

5. श्री. वै. नारायणस्वामी सदस्य गाजे सभा

6. श्री आर.एस. विश्वर  
सदस्य लोक सभा

7. श्री वी.डी. चौचूले फैशन आफ इडियन चौचूले हाउस मुरगांव बन्दरगाह गोवा-403803 इडल्ड्रेज के प्रतिनिधि

8. श्री. ए.जे. क्लौटे एडिशन आफ वैम्बर्ट आफ लार्स एड इडल्ड्रेज शाफ इडियन के प्रतिनिधि

9. कैटन वै.एस. गौरीलाल उप गंतव्य मुरगांव पोर्ट ट्रॉट गोवा, इडियन पोर्ट एडिशन एंड इडिशन के प्रतिनिधि

10. कैप्टन वी. सुब्रह्मण्यम तरुनीका प्रबंधक, भारतीय बीचहन निवास शिपिंग हाउस, 245, मेडम कामा रोड नारीमन आर्ट बम्बई-400021	इंडियन नेशनल फिल्म ऑफिस एसोसिएशन के प्रतिनिधि	5. Shri V. Narayanasamy, Member, Rajya Sabha.
11. कैप्टन वी.आर. गाव संपूर्ण समूही अधीक्षण विधिया स्टम नेवोगेशन कम्पनी लि. विधिया हाऊस बीमार्ड एल्टेच, बम्बई-400038	वही	6. Shri R.S. Kharhar, Member, Lok Sabha.
12. श्री प्रेम जी भाई वी.बोखारी, मानव महासचिव, फेडरेशन ऑफ इंडिया सेलिंग वेसल्स इंडिया एसोसिएशन, खरवाद, पौरबवर्त, गुजरात	परिचमी तट के पाल वाहिं के हितों के प्रतिनिधि	7. Shri V.D. Chowgule Chawgule House, Mormugao Harbour Goa-403003.
13. कैप्टन चुरेश कोरेग उपाध्याय तूतीकोरिन सेलिंग वेसल्स श्रीनाथ एसोसिएशन, बीच रोड तूतीकोरिन तामिल नाडू	पूर्वी तट के पाल वाहिं के हितों के प्रतिनिधि	8. Mr. A.J. Couto, Gokak Patel Velkart Ltd., Forbes Building, Charanji Marg, P.B. No. 79, Fort Bombay-400001.
14. कैप्टन ई.एम.डॉ.सूजा विश्व अधिकारी जे.एम.बसी एंड कम्पनी बीच स्ट्रीट, बम्बई	कम्पनी औफ मास्टर ऐरीनर्स आफ इंडिया के प्रतिनिधि	9. Capt. V.S. Gopinath, Deputy Conservator, Mormugao Port Trust, Goa.
15. महानिवेशक वीपचर और दोस्पोन [का० सं.एस.एम्ब्यू/एस.एल.इ.-15/85 (एम.एल.)] डॉ. डॉ. सूद, अधर निवास	पदेन, (प्रदस्य सचिव)	10. Capt. V. Subramanian, Technical Manager, Shipping Corporation of India, Shipping House, 245, Madame Cama Road, Nariman Point, Bombay-400021.

## MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Dept. of Surface Transport)  
(Shipping Wing)

New Delhi, the 11th April, 1986

S.O. 1838.—In pursuance of Sub-section (1) of section 4 and section 11 of the Lighthouse Act, 1927 (No. 17 of 1927), the Central Government hereby appoints for a period of two years w.e.f. 11-4-86 a Central Advisory Committee for Lighthouses, consisting of the following persons, namely:—

Chairman

1. Secretary,  
Ministry of Transport,  
Dept. of Surface Transport.

Ex-officio.

Members

2. Nautical Adviser to the  
Govt. of India,  
Jahaz Bhavan, Walchand  
Hirachand Marg,  
Bombay-400001.
3. Financial Adviser,  
Ministry of Transport  
Dept. of Surface Transport
4. Chief Hydrographer to the  
Govt. of India, Naval  
Hydrographic Office,  
Post Box No. 75  
Dehra Dun-248001.

Ex-officio.

-do-

-do-

Representative of the  
Sailing Vessels Interests  
for East Coast.Representative of the  
Sailing Vessels Interests  
for West Coast.Representative of the  
Company of Master  
Marine Engineers of India.Lx-Officio  
(Member-Secretary).[No. SW/LLE-15/85(SI.)]  
D.D. SOOD, Under Secy.

## भ्रम भंगालय

नई दिल्ली, 16 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ 1839.—भौतिक विद्या अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत को किंग कोल लि. की बरीरा कॉलियरी के प्रबंधतात्व से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट भौतिक विद्या में केन्द्रीय सरकार भौतिक अधिकारण, नं. 2, बनावार के पंचाट को प्रक्रिया करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार की 15-4-1986 की प्राप्ति हुआ था।

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 16th April, 1986

S.O. 1839.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government

Industrial Tribunal No. 2, Dhanbad as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 15th April, 1986.

## ANNEXURE

**BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (NO. 2) AT DHANBAD  
REFERENCE NO. 41 OF 1983**

In the matter of Industrial Disputes under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947.

**PARTIES :**

Employers in relation to the management of Barora Colliery of Messrs. Bharat Coking Coal Limited and their workmen.

**APPEARANCES :**

On behalf of the workmen—Shri B. K. Ghosh, Member, Executive Committee, Janta Mazdoor Sangh.

On behalf of the employers—Shri B. Joshi, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar. INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, Dhanbad, the 9th April, 1986

**AWARD**

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour and Rehabilitation in exercise of the powers conferred on them under Section 10(1)(d) of the I.D. Act, 1947 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication vide their Order No. L-20012(4)/83-D.III(A), dated the 4th May, 1983.

**SCHEDULE**

"Whether the demand of Sri Baban Singh, Magazine Clerk, Grade-III of Barora Colliery of Messrs Bharat Coking Coal Limited, Dhanbad for Grade-II Magazine Clerk, from the date Sri Sudhakar Pandey, Grade-II Magazine Clerk has been transferred to another section, is justified? If so, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

The parties filed their respective W.S. Subsequently the workmen did not take any step in the reference and several adjournments were made. Lastly, on 13-3-86 Shri B. K. Ghosh appearing on behalf of the workmen submitted that the concerned workman was not interested in contesting the case and as such he prayed that the reference be disposed of accordingly. It will appear from the schedule of the order of reference that it was for the workmen to establish that the demand of the concerned workman for Grade-II Magazine Clerk was justified. As no evidence has been led on behalf of the workmen, it has to be held that the demand of the concerned workman Shri Baban Singh, Magazine Clerk Grade-III of Barora Colliery of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd. Grade-II Magazine Clerk from the date Shri Sudhakar Pandey Grade-II Magazine Clerk has been transferred to another section is not justified and accordingly the concerned workman is entitled to no relief. The Award is passed accordingly.

I. N. SINHA, Presiding Officer  
[No. L-20012(4)/83-D.III(A)]  
A. V. S. SARMA, Desk Officer

नई दिल्ली, 17 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1840.—सिनेमा कमंडार और सिनेमा प्रियेटर कमंडार (नियोजन का विनियम) भवित्वियम्, 1981 (1981 का 50) की घारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शब्दियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केंद्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित केंद्र सरकार के अधिकारियों की उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविधिएँ में विविहित क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त भवित्वियम् के प्रवीन संराजन अधिकारी नियुक्त करती हैं:

क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त भवित्वियम् के प्रवीन संराजन अधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्रधिकृत करती हैं।

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. अपर अमायूक्त	समस्त केंद्र राज्य
2. संयुक्त अमायूक्त (प्रबंधन)	समस्त केंद्र राज्य
3. उत्तर अमायूक्त (अमायूक्त का कार्यालय)	समस्त केंद्र राज्य

[सं. एस-61011/1/86-वी-1(ए) (8)]

New Delhi, the 17th April, 1986.

S.O. 1840:—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cinema-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorizes the officers of the Government of Kerala mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act for area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

**TABLE**

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Additional Labour Commissioner	Whole of Kerala State.
2. Joint Labour Commissioner (Enforcement)	Whole of Kerala State.
3. Deputy Labour Commissioner, (Office of the Labour Commissioner.)	Whole of Kerala.

[सं. एस-61011/1/86-ड.ल.(ए)(viii)]

का.आ. 1841:—सिनेमा कमंडार और सिनेमा प्रियेटर कमंडार (नियोजन का विनियम) भवित्वियम्, 1981 (1981 का 50) की घारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त शब्दियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केंद्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित केंद्र सरकार के अधिकारियों की उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविधिएँ में विविहित क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त भवित्वियम् के प्रवीन संराजन अधिकारी नियुक्त करती हैं:

सारणी

अधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
1. अमायूक्त	समस्त केंद्र राज्य
2. संयुक्त अमायूक्त (योजना, अनुसंधान और प्रबंधन)	समस्त केंद्र राज्य
3. केंद्रीय संयुक्त अमायूक्त, क्षीलोन	प्रियेटरम्, चीलीन, पठानमधीहटा और असेप्पी के राजस्व जिले।
4. केंद्रीय संयुक्त अमायूक्त, एनाकुलम्	कोटायम्, इन्द्रकी, एनाकुलम् और तिंचुर के राजस्व जिले।
5. तेज़ीय संयुक्त अमायूक्त, कोजीकोड़	पालघाट, मालापुरम्, कोजीकोड़, वाईनाड़, कलानीर, कसेरीड़ के राजस्व जिले।

1	2
6. उत्तर श्रमाधिकारी (भौद्याधिकारी संबंध), एनकुलम	कोट्टायम, एनकुलम, हड्डकी और शिल्प का राजस्व जिला।
7. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, त्रिवेन्द्रम	त्रिवेन्द्रम का राजस्व जिला।
8. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, क्वीलोन	क्वीलोन का राजस्व जिला।
9. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, अलेप्पी	अलेप्पी का राजस्व जिला।
10. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, कोट्टायम	कोट्टायम का राजस्व जिला।
11. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, थोडपुजहा	हड्डकी का राजस्व जिला।
12. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, इसवे	एनकुलम का राजस्व जिला।
13. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, शिल्प	शिल्प का राजस्व जिला।
14. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, मालपुरम	मालपुरम का राजस्व जिला।
15. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, पालघाट	पालघाट का राजस्व जिला।
16. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, कोजीकोड़	कोजीकोड़ का राजस्व जिला।
17. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, कन्नामौर	कन्नामौर का राजस्व जिला।
18. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, बाईना	बाईना का राजस्व जिला।
19. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, पठानमथिटा	पठानमथिटा का राजस्व जिला।
20. जिला श्रम प्रधिकारी, कसेरायोड़	कसेरायोड़ का राजस्व जिला।

[संख्या एस-61011/1/86-डी-1(ए)(vii)]

S.O. 1841.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Kerala mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Labour Commissioner	Whole of Kerala State
2. Joint Labour Commissioner (Planning, Research and Publicity).	Whole of Kerala State.
3. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Quilon.	Revenue Districts of Trivandrum, Quilon, Pathanamthitta and Alleppey.
4. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Ernakulam.	Revenue Districts of Kottayam, Idukki, Ernakulam and Trichur.
5. Regional Joint Labour Commissioner, Kozhikode.	Revenue Districts of Palghat, Malappuram, Kozhikode, Wynad, Cannanore, Kasargode.

1	2
6. Deputy Labour Commissioner for Industrial Relations, Ernakulam.	Revenue District of Kottayam, Ernakulam, Idukki and Trichur.
7. District Labour Officer, Trivandrum.	Revenue District of Trivandrum.
8. District Labour Officer, Quilon.	Revenue District of Quilon.
9. District Labour Officer, Alleppey.	Revenue District of Alleppey.
10. District Labour Officer, Kottayam.	Revenue District of Kottayam.
11. District Labour Officer, Thodupuzha.	Revenue District of Idukki.
12. District Labour Officer, Alwaye.	Revenue District of Ernakulam.
13. District Labour Officer, Trichur.	Revenue District of Trichur.
14. District Labour Officer, Malappuram.	Revenue District of Malappuram.
15. District Labour Officer, Palghat.	Revenue District of Palghat.
16. District Labour Officer, Kozhikode.	Revenue District of Kozhikode.
17. District Labour Officer, Cannanore.	Revenue District of Cannanore.
18. District Labour Officer, Wynad.	Revenue District of Wynad.
19. District Labour Officer, Pathanamthitta.	Revenue District of Pathanamthitta.
20. District Labour Officer, Kasargod.	Revenue District of Kasargod.

[No. S. 61011/1/86-D.I.(A)(vii)]

K.A. No. 1842. :—सिनेमा कमीकार और सिनेमा थिएटर कमीकार (नियोजन का विनियमन) प्रधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की धारा 2 के खंड (घ) के अनुसरण में, केंद्रीय सरकार, नियमालिकाता सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित तमिलनाडू सरकार के प्रधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विनियिष्ट क्षेत्र के लिए उक्त प्रधिनियम के प्रधीन सकाम प्राधिकारी के रूप में कार्य करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है :

प्रधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र
1	2
सभी संयुक्त श्रमाधिकारी	समस्त राज्य

[संख्या एस-61011/1/86-डी-1 (ए) (6)]

S.O. 1842.—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-workers and Cinema Theatre workers Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Tamil Nadu mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the said Act

for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
All Joint Commissioners of Labour	Whole of the State

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I. (A)(vi)]

का.पा 1843:—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा प्रियोटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियम) प्रधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की आरा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त प्रधिकारी का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार भीषं वी गई सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित तमिलनाडू सरकार के प्रधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में संशोधन प्रविष्टि में विनियोग करते के लिए उक्त प्रधिनियम के उद्देश्य से संराधन प्रविकारी नियुक्त करती है:—

## सारणी

प्रधिकारी का नाम	लेन्ड
1	2
1. सभी उप प्रमाणीकृत	समस्त राज्य
2. अम प्रधिकारी-I, मद्रास	मद्रास कार्पोरेशन के 2 से 27 42 से 62 और 64 से 66 दिवीजन।
3. अम प्रधिकारी-II, मद्रास	मद्रास कार्पोरेशन के 1, 28 से 41, 63, 67 से 88, 81 से 93, 95, 98, 99, 101 से 106 दिवीजन।
4. अम प्रधिकारी-III, मद्रास	मद्रास कार्पोरेशन के 89, 90, 94, 96, 97, 100, 107 से 150 दिवीजन।
5. अम प्रधिकारी; वेल्लोर	उत्तरी आरकोट जिला।
6. अम प्रधिकारी, सलेम	सलेम जिला।
7. अम प्रधिकारी, कृष्णपुरी में भर्मपुरी।	धर्मपुरी जिला।
8. अम प्रधिकारी, इरोडे	पेरियार जिला।
9. अम प्रधिकारी, कोयम्बटूर	कोयम्बटूर जिला।
10. अम प्रधिकारी, कूनूर	नीलगिरी जिले के कूनूर और कोमागिरी तालुक।
11. अम प्रधिकारी, गुडालूर	नीलगिरी जिले के गुडालूर और कठी तालुक।
12. अम प्रधिकारी, कुद्दालोर	दिल्ली आरकोट जिला।
13. अम प्रधिकारी, वंजावूर	वंजावूर जिला।
14. अम प्रधिकारी, पुदुकोट्टाई	पुदुकोट्टाई जिला।
15. अम प्रधिकारी, मदुरै	मदुरै जिला।
16. अम प्रधिकारी, डिल्लीगढ़	अन्ना जिला।
17. अम प्रधिकारी, रामनाथपुरम्	रामनाथपुरम् जिला।
18. अम प्रधिकारी, विरुद्धनगर	कामराजार जिला।
19. अम प्रधिकारी, सिवार्पंगी	पसुप्तन मध्यमानिगम जिला।

1	2
20. अम प्रधिकारी, तिवनेलबेसी	श्री वेंकूटम, तिवनेलबूर और नन- गलेरी तालुकों को छोड़कर तिवनेलबेसी जिला।
21. सहायक प्रमाणीकृत (संराधन) मार्गारकोली	कन्याकुमारी जिला और तिवनेलबेसी जिले में श्रीवेंकूटम, तिवनेलबूर तथा ननगलेरी तालुक।

[सं. एस-61011/1/86-डी-1 (प) (5)]

S.O. 1843.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Works (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Tamil Nadu mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Art, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table:—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. All Deputy Commissioners of Labour.	Whole of the State.
2. Labour Officer-I Madras.	2 to 27, 42 to 62 and 64 to 66 divisions of Corporation of Madras.
3. Labour Officer-II, Madras.	1, 28 to 41, 63, 67 to 88, 91 to 93, 95, 98, 99, 101 to 106 divisions of Corporation of Madras.
4. Labour Officer-III, Madras.	89, 90, 94, 96, 97, 100, 107 to 150 division of Corporation of Madras.
5. Labour Officer, Vellore	North Arcot District.
6. Labour Officer, Salem	Salem District.
7. Labour Officer, Dharmapuri at Krishnagiri.	Dharmapuri District.
8. Labour Officer, Erode.	Periyar District.
9. Labour Officer, Coimbatore.	Coimbatore District.
10. Labour Officer, Coonoor.	Coonoor and Kothagiri Taluks of Nilgiris District.
11. Labour Officer, Gudalur.	Gudalur and Ooty Taluks of Nilgiris District.
12. Labour Officer, Cuddalore.	South Arcot District.
13. Labour Officer, Thanjavur.	Thanjavur District.
14. Labour Officer, Pudukkottai.	Pudukkottai District.
15. Labour Officer, Madurai.	Madurai District.
16. Labour Officer, Dindigul.	Anna District.
17. Labour Officer, Ramanathapuram.	Ramanathapuram District.
18. Labour Officer, Virudhunagar	Kamarajar District

1	2
19. Labour Officer, Sivagangam.	Pasumpon Muthuramalingam District.
20. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Conciliation), Nagercoil.	Kanniyakumari district and taluks of Srivilliputhur and Nanguteri in Tirunelveli District.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.1.(A)(v)]

का. आ. 1844.—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (वियोजन का विनियम) प्रधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की आरा 2 के अंडे (१) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित कर्मांक सरकार के प्रधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विविध लेन के लिए उक्त प्रधिनियम के अधीन सक्षम प्रधिकारी के कार्य करने के लिए पायिकृत करती है :

## सारणी

प्रधिकारी का पदनाम	क्षेत्र	क्षेत्र	
		1	2
1. संघर्ष श्रमाध्यक्ष, बंगलौर-(I)	समस्त कर्मांक राज्य		
2. संघर्ष श्रमाध्यक्ष, बंगलौर (II)	समस्त कर्मांक राज्य		
3. उप श्रमाध्यक्ष बंगलौर लेन-I, बंगलौर	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. १ से ५०, ५२, से ५५, ७१ से ७३, ८१ से ८३, ८६ और ओडाडावलापुर नेलामंगला, हासकोटे, अनेकल, देवनाहाली कनकपुरा, चम्पापटना, के तालुक बंगलौर जिले का बंगलौर उत्तरी तालुक और समस्त टुमकुर जिला		
4. उप श्रमाध्यक्ष, बंगलौर लेन-II, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. ५१, ५६ से ७०, ७४ से ८०, ८४, ८५, ८७ और गगनगरम, मागडी के तालुक, बंगलौर जिले का बंगलौर विधान तालुक और कीलार, माडिया और मैसूर के समस्त जिले।		
5. उप श्रमाध्यक्ष, हासन लेन, हासन।	हासन, चिकमगळूर, कोडागु, विश्वन कन्नड और शिरोगा जिले।		
6. उप श्रमाध्यक्ष गुलबर्ग लेन, गुलबर्ग	गुलबर्ग, विदार, गग्पूर, बेलारी और जिलदुर्ग जिले।		
7. उप श्रमाध्यक्ष, बेलगांव लेन, बेलगांव।	बेलगांव, धाराधार उत्तर कन्नड और बीजापुर जिले।		

[सं. एम-61011/1/86-वी-1 (ए) (iv)]

S.O.1844.—In pursuance of clause (a) of section 2 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Karnataka mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the competent authority under the

said Act for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

## TABLE

Designation of the officer	Area	Designation of the officer		Area
		(1)	(2)	
1. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (I).	The whole State of Karnataka.	1. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (I).		The whole State of Karnataka.
2. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (II).		2. The Joint Labour Commissioner, Bangalore (II).		
3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region-I, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division No. 1 to 50, 52 to 55, 71 to 73, 81 to 83, 86 and Taluks of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote, Anekal, Devenahalli, Kanakapura, Channapatna, Bangalore North Taluk of Bangalore District and the whole of Tumkur District.	3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region-I, Bangalore.		
4. Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region II, Bangalore	Bangalore City Corporation Division No. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85 87 and Taluks of Ramanagaram, Magadi, Bangalore South Taluk of Bangalore District and the whole of Districts of Kolar, Mandya and Mysore.	4. Deputy Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Region II, Bangalore		
5. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Hassan Region, Hassan.	Hassan Chickmagalur, Kodagu, Dakshina Kannada and Shimoga Districts.	5. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Hassan Region, Hassan.		
6. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Gulbarga Region, Gulbarga.	Gulbarga, Bidar, Raichur, Bellary and Chitradurga Districts.	6. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Gulbarga Region, Gulbarga.		
7. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Belgaum Region, Belgaum.	Belgaum, Dharwar, Uttara Kannada and Bijapur Districts.	7. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, Belgaum Region, Belgaum.		

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I(A)(iv)]

का. आ. 1845.—सिनेमा कर्मकार और सिनेमा थियेटर कर्मकार (वियोजन का विनियम) प्रधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की आरा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त विविधों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, निम्नलिखित सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित कर्मांक सरकार के प्रधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में की गई संगत प्रविष्टि में विविध लेन के लिए उक्त प्रधिनियम के प्रयोग से उक्त संराजन प्रधिकारी नियुक्त करती है

## सारणी

प्रधिकारी का नाम	क्षेत्र	क्षेत्र	
		1	2
1. श्रमाध्यक्ष, कर्मांक, बंगलौर।	समस्त कर्मांक राज्य।		

1	2
2. उप श्रमाधिकर्ता (आई. आर.), बंगलौर.	ममस्त कर्नाटक राज्य।
3. उप श्रमाधिकर्ता (पी. एंड. एस.)।	ममस्त कर्नाटक राज्य।
4. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता (संराधन)।	ममस्त बंगलौर जिला।
5. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, बंगलौर हिक्वीजन-I।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 1 से 50, 52 से 53, 71 से 73, 81 से 83, 86 और डोड्डाबल्लापुर, नेलामंगला, हासकोटे, कनकापुरा, चन्नापटना, देवनाहाली, प्रसेकल के तालुक, बंगलौर जिले का बंगलौर उत्तर तालुक और टुमकुर जिला।
6. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, बंगलौर हिक्वीजन-II, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन म. 51, 56 से 70, 74 से 80, 84, 85, 87, रामानगरम, माषडी का तालुक और बंगलौर जिले का दक्षिण बंगलौर तथा कोलार जिला।
7. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, मैसूर विद्यि-जन, मैसूर।	मैसूर और माषडी जिला।
8. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता बंगलौर हिक्वीजन, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर वक्षिण कम्बड और विमोगा जिला।
9. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, चिकमगलूर हिक्वीजन, चिकमगलूर।	चिकमगलूर, हासन और कोडगू जिला।
10. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, बेळगांव हिक्वीजन, दार्शगर।	बेळगांव और बेळगांव जिला।
11. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, बेळगांव हिक्वीजन, तुबली।	बाराढ़, बेळगांव, उत्तर कन्नड और बीजापुर जिला।
12. सहायक श्रमाधिकर्ता, गुलबर्ग।	गुलबर्ग, रायचूर और विदार जिला।
13. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर विद्यि-जन I, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन सं. 1 से 22, 25, 26, 71, 81, 82, 83, 86 और डोड्डा बल्लापुर, नेलामंगला, हासकोटे और देवनाहाली के तालुक तथा बंगलौर उत्तरी तालुक और बंगलौर जिला।
14. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर विद्यि-जन-II, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन डिवीजन म. 23, 24, 27 से 50, 52 से 55, 72 और 73, प्रसेकल, कनकापुरा और चन्नापटना के तालुक।
15. श्रम अधिकारी, बंगलौर विद्यि-जन-III, बंगलौर।	बंगलौर सिटी कारपोरेशन, डिवीजन म. 51, 56 से 70, 74 से 80, 84, 85 और 87 तथा बंगलौर जिले के रामानगरम, माषडी, बंगलौर दक्षिण तालुक।

S.O. 1845.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Karnataka mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—

#### TABLE

Designation of the officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. The Commissioner of Labour in Karnataka, Bangalore.	The whole State of Karnataka.
2. The Deputy Labour Commissioner (IR), Bangalore.	The whole State of Karnataka.
3. The Deputy Labour Commissioner, (P&S)	The whole State of Karnataka.
4. The Assistant Labour Commissioner (Conciliation)	The whole of Bangalore District.
5. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Division-I.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 1 to 50, 52 to 55, 71 to 73, 81 to 83, 86 and Taluka of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote, Kanakapura, Channapurna, Devanahalli, Anekal, Bangalore North Taluk of Bangalore District and Tumkut District.
6. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bangalore Division-II, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85, 87, Taluka of Ramanagaram, Magadi and Bangalore South of Bangalore District and Kolar District.
7. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mysore Division, Mysore.	Mysore and Mandya District.
8. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Mangalore Division, Mangalore.	Dakshina Kannada and Shimoga district.
9. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Chickmagalur Division, Chickmagalur.	Chickmagalur, Hassan and Kodagu District.
10. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Bellary Division, Davangere.	District of Chitradurga and Bellary.
11. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Belgaum Division, Hubli.	District of Dharwar, Belgaum, Uttara Kannada and Bijapur.
12. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, Gulbarga.	District of Gulbarga, Raichur and Bidar.

1	2	1	2
13. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-I, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 1, to 22, 25, 26, 71, 81, 82, 83, 86 and Taluka of Doddaballapur, Nelamangala, Hoskote and Devenahalli and Bangalore North Taluk and Bangalore District.	5. भूसापेट पंचायत भेद्ध 6. बुकात्पल्ली पंचायत भेद्ध 7. हयायनगर पंचायत भेद्ध	II. महान्‌द नगर जिला III. नालोगोदा जिला IV. निजामाबाद जिला V. रेवाक जिला
14. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-II, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 23, 24, 27 to 50, 52 to 55, 72 and 73, Taluks of Anekal, Kanaka- pura and Channapatna.	10. उप श्रमायुक्त, (टिक्स सीटीज)	हैदराबाद हैदराबाद शहर, जिसमें निम्नलिखित भेद्ध शामिल हैं :— 1. हैदराबाद नगर निगम का हैदराबाद डिवीजन तथा सिकन्दराबाद डिवीजन । 2. सिकन्दराबाद कंटोरमेंट भेद्ध 3. ओसमानिया विश्वविद्यालय कैम्पस 4. चन्दुखाल बोर्डसी 5. दोकोटा 6. खिलगिरी 7. सोतारामपुर 8. काका गृह 9. मार्टिपाल्ले मैगाह 10. मार्टिपाल्ले सफ़े आस 11. रसूलपुरा 12. बेगमपेट पैथार 13. मजा शोलाराम 14. बोकनेपल्ली 15. भस्मुगुडा 16. भल्काजीगिरी 17. गढ़वी शोलाराम 18. कान्दिकल 19. लालागुडा 20. जमिस्तनपुर
15. The Labour Officer, Bangalore Division-III, Bangalore.	Bangalore City Corporation Division Nos. 51, 56 to 70, 74 to 80, 84, 85 and 87 and Ramanagaram, Magadi, Bangalore South Taluk of Bangalore District.	[No. S-61011/1/86-D. I (A)(iii)]	[सं. एस-61011/1/86-डा(ए)(२)]

का. आ. 1846:—सिमेमा कर्मकार और सिमेमा थिएटर कर्मकार (नियोजन का विनियम) अधिनियम, 1981 (1981 का 50) की घारा 2 के अन्त (ए) के अनुसार में केन्द्रीय सरकार भी वे वी गई सारणी के कालम (1) में उत्सवित भाग्य प्रदेश सरकार के अधिकारियों को उक्त सारणी के कालम (2) में संगत प्रविष्टि में विनियिष्ट भेद्ध के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के अंतर्गत सभी प्राधिकारी के कार्यों को करने के लिए प्राधिकृत करती है :—

#### सारणी

श्रमिकारी का पदनाम	भेद्ध
1	2
1. संयुक्त श्रमायुक्त, हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
2. सहायक श्रमायुक्त (मूल्यांकन भी शामिल है) हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
3. प्रतिष्ठानों के सहायक मूल्य नियोजक, हैदराबाद	पूरा राज्य
4. उप श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापट्टनम	श्रीकाञ्जीपुर, विशाखापट्टनम भी विजयनगरम जिले
5. उप श्रमायुक्त, इलुरु	इस्ट गोदावरी, वेस्ट गोदावरी और कृष्णा जिले
6. उप श्रमायुक्त, गुद्दर	गुद्दर, प्रकासम और मेल्लोर जिले
7. उप श्रमायुक्त, कुरूल	कुरूल, प्रभंतपुर, कवदापाह और तिक्तूर जिले
8. उप श्रमायुक्त, वारांगंगल	वारांगंगल, खम्माम, करीम नगर और शालिमाराद जिले
9. उप श्रमायुक्त, रेंगारेडी जिला	रेंगारेडी जिला तथा निम्नलिखित भेद्ध :— 1. एवेनगर पंचायत भेद्ध 2. उप्पल खालिया पंचायत भेद्ध 3. अलवल पंचायत भेद्ध 4. बालानगर पंचायत भेद्ध

5. भूसापेट पंचायत भेद्ध 6. बुकात्पल्ली पंचायत भेद्ध 7. हयायनगर पंचायत भेद्ध	II. महान्‌द नगर जिला III. नालोगोदा जिला IV. निजामाबाद जिला V. रेवाक जिला
10. उप श्रमायुक्त, (टिक्स सीटीज)	हैदराबाद हैदराबाद शहर, जिसमें निम्नलिखित भेद्ध शामिल हैं :— 1. हैदराबाद नगर निगम का हैदराबाद डिवीजन तथा सिकन्दराबाद डिवीजन । 2. सिकन्दराबाद कंटोरमेंट भेद्ध 3. ओसमानिया विश्वविद्यालय कैम्पस 4. चन्दुखाल बोर्डसी 5. दोकोटा 6. खिलगिरी 7. सोतारामपुर 8. काका गृह 9. मार्टिपाल्ले मैगाह 10. मार्टिपाल्ले सफ़े आस 11. रसूलपुरा 12. बेगमपेट पैथार 13. मजा शोलाराम 14. बोकनेपल्ली 15. भस्मुगुडा 16. भल्काजीगिरी 17. गढ़वी शोलाराम 18. कान्दिकल 19. लालागुडा 20. जमिस्तनपुर
	[सं. एस-61011/1/86-डा(ए)(२)]
	S.O. 1846.—In pursuance of clause (d) of section 2 of the Cine-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby authorises the officers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to perform the functions of the Competent authority under the said Act for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table :—
	TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
1	2
1. Joint Commissioner of Labour, Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
2. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Evaluation and Implementation), Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
3. Assistant Chief Inspector of Establishments, Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.

1	2
4. Deputy Commissioner of Srikakulam, Visakhapatnam Labour, Visakhapatnam and Vizianagaram Districts.	
5. Deputy Commissioner of East Godavari, West Godavari and Krishna Districts.	
6. Deputy Commissioner of Guntur, Prakasam and Nellore Districts.	
7. Deputy Commissioner of Kurnool, Anantapur, Cuddapah and Chittoor Districts.	
8. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Warangal, Warangal District.	Warangal, Khammam, Karimnagar and Adilabad Districts.
9. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Rangareddy District.	Rangareddy District, including the following areas :
	1. Fathenagar Panchayat area.
	2. Uppal Khalsa Panchayat area.
	3. Alwal Panchayat area.
	4. Balanagar Panchayat area.
	5. Moosapet Panchayat area.
	6. Kukatpally Panchayat area.
	7. Jayathnagar Panchayat area.
	II. Mahaboobnagar District.
	III. Nalgonda District.
	IV. Nizamabad District.
	V. Medak District.
10. Deputy Commissioner of Labour, Hyderabad (Twin Cities)	City of Hyderabad consisting of the following areas :
	1. Hyderabad Division and Secunderabad division of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad.
	2. Secunderabad Cantonment area.
	3. Osmania University Campus.
	4. Chandulal Bowli.
	5. Tokkota.
	6. Trimalgiri.
	7. Sitarampur.
	8. Kakuguda.
	9. Marredpalle Paigah.
	10. Marredpalle Sarf-e-Khas.
	11. Rasoolpura.
	12. Begumpet Paigah.
	13. Macha Bolaram.
	14. Bowenpalli.
	15. Ammuguda.
	16. Malkajgiri.
	17. Gaddi Annaram.
	18. Kandikal.
	19. Lalaguda.
	20. Zamistanpur.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I(A)(ii)]

का.आ. 1847:-सिनेमा नियंत्रकार और सिनेमा प्रिंटर कांगकार (नियोन्सन का चिनियमन) अधिनियम, 1931 (1931 का 50) का ध्वारा 4 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार, नीचे दो गई सारणी के कालम (1) में उल्लिखित आधिकार प्रदेश संस्थार के अधिकारियों को 99/GI/86-3

उस सारणी के कालम (1) में कि गई गंगत प्रतिष्ठित में चिनियमन थेव के लिए उक्त अधिनियम के प्रयोगन हेतु गंगधन अधिकार नियुक्त घरत हैः—

अधिकार का परिवर्तन	सारणी
1	2
1. श्रमायुक्त, हैदराबाद	समस्त राज्य
2. सहायक श्रमायुक्त (प्रणालीन) हैदराबाद।	समस्त राज्य
3. उप श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापत्तनम	ओंकारकुलम, विशाखापत्तनम तथा विजयनगरम जिले।
4. उप श्रमायुक्त, इन्दौर	ईस्ट गोदावरी, वेस्ट गोदावरी और कृष्णा जिले।
5. उप श्रमायुक्त, गुंटूर	गुंटूर, प्रकासम तथा नेल्लोर जिले।
6. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, कॉकुलम	ओंकारकुलम जिला।
7. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विजयनगरम	विजयनगरम जिला।
8. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापत्तनम	विशाखापत्तनम, जिला (स्टेल प्लाट, विशाखापत्तनम थेव को छोड़कर)
9. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, राजामुद्रा	ईस्ट गोदावरी जिला।
10. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, इन्दौर	वेस्ट गोदावरी जिला।
11. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, विशाखापत्तनम	कृष्णा जिला।
12. सहायक श्रमायुक्त सकिल-1, गुंटूर	गुंटूर जिले के गंटूर तेलाल, भंगलापुर, पल्लापत्ता, इमान, बापत्ता रेपाल, तालुक तलुगाड़ तथा गटूर म्हूनिसिरेलिट के 1 से 13 वार्ड।
13. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, सकिल-II, गुंटूर	गुंटूर जिले के नर्सारावपेट, वेन्नू-कोडा, चिलकालुरपेट, मठेल्ला, पिडूगुगला, गुरुजाला, इपूर, गंगुपालेम और साथेनापाल तालुक और गुंटूर म्हूनिसिरेलिट के वार्ड 14 से 36।
14. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, ओगोल	प्रकासम जिला।
15. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, नेल्लोर	नेल्लोर जिला।
16. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, कुरनूल	कुरनूल जिला।
17. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, अस्तमपुर	अस्तमपुर जिला।
18. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, कुद्दापाह	कुद्दापाहम् जिला।
19. सहायक श्रमायुक्त तिल्लपत्त	चित्तूर जिला।
20. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, करमनगर	करमनगर जिला तथा अर्द्धलाल बाद जिला।
21. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, खम्माम	खम्माम जिला।
22. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, बारांगल	बारांगल जिला।
23. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, निजामाबाद	निजामाबाद तथा मेहक जिले।
24. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, नालगोडा	नालगोडा जिला।
25. सहायक श्रमायुक्त, राफिल-I, राफिल-II, रंगारेडु	रंगारेडु जिले तथा महबूब नगर जिले के मौलाल, नोवाराम, उप्पल, खालासा पंचायत थेव, चेल्लपल्ली, अस्त्रल पंचायत थेव, कोसेंगुडा, कतेवन, शमसाबाद, ह्यातनगर, आटोवर।

(1)	(2)	1	2
26. महायक अभायुक्त, सकिं-II, राजरेक्षा जिला।	राजरेक्षा जिले के पथनगर पंतपानी थोंत, वालानगर पंचाया थोंत, जड़केला कुलतुम्मन पंचाया थोंत, मूसापेट पंचायत थोंत, विशाखपट्टनम्, गम्भारपुराम्, पारज, नेइकल और शंदुर।	9. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Guntur.	Taluqs of Guntur, Tenali Mangalagiri, Pallapadu, Erramoli, Papatla, Repallo of Guntur District and Wards 1 to 13 of Guntur Municipality.
27. महायक अभायुक्त, सकिं-I, हैदराबाद।	फिल्मराबाद शहर, हैदराबाद नाम निम्न का थार्ड 6 और 7 तालुकाएँ गोवा फिल्मराबाद केटोलोट थोंत, याचा लोनाराम पंचायत थोंत, बिंगुनिरि पंचायत थोंत, देहोलोट गोवारामगुडा, ठाळाडा, मरडपट्टा, रुद्रगुडा, वेसरेट, बोयनपल्ले अभायुक्त, मालक्कज थिर; पंचायत थोंत और भवित्वाद्वारा विभिन्न पंचायत सर।	10. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Guntur.	Taluqs of Nettaraopet, Vizukonda, Chikakulurip. t., Machholi, Puguralla, Gengiela, Ipur, Rajupalem and Sathewapalli of Guntur District and Wards 14 to 36 of the Guntur Municipality.
28. महायक अभायुक्त, सकिं-II, हैदराबाद।	थार्ड 6 तथा 7 अभियानन्दपुर गांधी, नंदगाल दोबारा, गोविन्दनगर तथा कलिकलेपेट को छोड़कर हैदराबाद के मुख्यालय रामगढ़।	11. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Ongole.	Prakasam Distt.
		12. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nellore.	Nellore District.
		13. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Kurnool.	Kurnool District.
		14. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Anantapur.	Anantapur District.
		15. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Cuddapah.	Cuddapah District.
		16. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Tirupathi.	Chittoor Distt.
		17. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Karimnagar.	Karimnagar Distt. and Nizabed Distt.
		18. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Khammam.	Khammam Distt.
		19. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Warangal.	Warangal District.
		20. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nizamabad.	Nizamabad and Medak Districts.
		21. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Nalgonda.	Nalgonda Distt.
		22. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Rangareddy District.	Moulali, Nacharam, Uppal, Khelasi, Panhayat area, Cherlapalli, Alwal Panchayat area, Kosaiguda, Katedan, Shamabad, Hayatnagar, Ghatkesar of Rangareddy district and Mahaboobnagar district.
		23. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Rangareddy District.	Fathenagar Panchayat area, Balanagar Panchayat area, Jeedimetla, Kukatpalli Panchayat area, Moosapet Panchayat area, Lingampalli, Ramachandrapuram, Patnacheru, Vikarabad, Pergi, Medchal and Tandur of Rangareddy District.
		24. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-I, Hyderabad.	Secunderabad City, Ward's 6 & 7 of Municipal Corporation of Hyderabad, Lalaguda Village, Secunderabad Cantonment area, Macha

[S. No.-61011/1/6-3-1 (P) (I)]

S.O.1847.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Cinema-Workers and Cinema Theatre Workers (Regulation of Employment) Act, 1981 (50 of 1981), the Central Government hereby appoints the officers of the Government of Andhra Pradesh mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, to be conciliation officers for the purposes of the said Act, for the area specified in the corresponding entry in column (2) of the said Table.—

TABLE

Designation of the Officer	Area
(1)	(2)
1. Commissioner of Labour, Whole of the State, Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
2. Assistant Commissioner of Labour (Administration), Hyderabad.	Whole of the State.
3. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Srikakulam.	Srikakulam District.
4. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Visianagaram.	Vizianagaram District.
5. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Visakhapatnam.	Visakhapatnam District (excluding area of Steel Plant, Visakhapatnam).
6. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Rajahmundry.	East Godavari District.
7. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Eluru.	West Godavari District.
8. Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Vijayawada.	Krishna District.

1	2	3
		Bolaram Panchayat area, Trimulgiri Panchayat area Tokkota, Seetharampur, Kakaguda, Marredpalli, Raoolpura, Begumpet, Bwenpalli, Ammuguda, Malakajgiri Panchayat area, Osmania University Campus.
25.	Assistant Commissioner of Labour, Circle-II, Hyderabad.	Municipal limits of Hyderabad Excluding Wards 6 & 7, Jamesthanpur Village, Chandul Bowli, Gaddiannaram and Kandikalgate.

[No. S-61011/1/86-D.I(A) (i)]

का.आ. 1848.—केन्द्रीय सरकार उत्तराधिकार, 1933 (1943 का 31) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुये, अनुचाल अधिकारी, श्र. आर. ए. वरेग को तत्काल प्रभाव से उत्तराधिकार II, बम्बई के रूप में नियुक्त करते हैं।

[रि. ए-22012/1/36-उत्तराधिकार-II]  
इन्हर सिंह, अधर सचिव

S.O. 1848.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3, sub-section (1) of the Emigration Act, 1982 (31 of 1983), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri R. A. Bangera, Section Officer as Protector of Emigrants II, Bombay, with immediate effect.

[No. A-22012/1/86-Emig.II]  
INDER SINGH, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 13 अप्रैल, 1986

शुद्ध-पढ़.

का.आ. 1849.—शासक के गवर्नर, भा. 3 खण्ड 3, उत्तराधि. (ii) में तारख 17 अगस्त, 1935 को प्राप्ताधिकार सरकार के अधीन संचालय के अधिसूचना संबंधी का.आ. 1953, दिनांक 31 जुलाई, 1935 की संस्थानी रिकॉर्ड में “हैदराबाद” शब्द के स्थान पर “हैदराबाद” (प. 71/295) पढ़।

[रि. ए. 35014/451/82-PF. एक.-2 (एस. ए.)-  
ए. के. भट्टराई, अधर सचिव]

New Delhi, the 18th April, 1986

## CORRIGENDUM

S.O. 1849.—In the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.O. 3953 dated the 31st July, 1985 published in the Gazette of India, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 17th August, 1985, in line 6 for “Hyderabad (AP/195)” read ‘Hyderabad (AP/295)”

[No. S-35014/451/82-PF-II(SS II)]  
A. K. BHATTARAI, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 अप्रैल, 1986

का.आ. 1850.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार मलान्क्षण्ड कापर प्रोजेक्ट के प्रबन्धित से सम्बद्ध

नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (मध्य प्रदेश) के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 24th April, 1986

S.O. 1850.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure, in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Malanjkhand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,  
JABALPUR (M.P.).

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(52)/1984.

(Notification No. L-43012(23)84-D.III(B) dated 20-7-84)

## PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Malanjkhand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd., P.O. Malanjkhand, Distt. Balaghat (M.P.).

## AND

Their Workmen.

## APPEARANCES:

For Management.—Shri P. S. Nair, Advocate

For Workman.—None.

INDUSTRY : Copper Mine DISTRICT : Balaghat (M.P.).

## AWARD

Dated the 31st March, 1986.

Government of India, Ministry of Labour has referred this dispute under Section 10 of the I.D. Act. Schedule of reference thereof is as under:

## SCHEDULE

“Whether the action of the management of Malanjkhand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. in striking off the name of Shri Prakash S/o Gullu, Sweeper from the rolls of the Project with effect from 20-9-83 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?”

The workman has failed to appear inspite of Regd. A.D. notice hence he was proceeded ex parte.

The case of the management is that Shri Prakash was working as Sweeper in the Malanjkhand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd., hereinafter referred as workman and management.

The workman had an extremely bad record in service. He remained habitually absent. Again the workman remained absent from 22-8-83 to 20-9-83 without any permission, leave or application to that effect. Thus in view of the provisions of Clause 19-E of Certified Standing Order and Clause 15.5 of the Annexure 9 of the settlement, the workman himself voluntarily brought out the situation which resulted in loss of lien. The action of the management in striking off the name of the workman from 20-9-83 was precipitated and necessitated by the workman himself.

Even otherwise absence without leave amounts to serious misconduct. The management will prove the misconduct before this Tribunal.

Management in order to prove the misconduct examined Shri K. K. R. Nair, Sr. Stenographer of the management. He has proved documents Ext. M-1 to M-5. Ext. M-5 is the order of transfer of the workman and others. Workman was transferred to Mines on 27-4-83. Ext. M-4 is the

report of the absence of the workman that in June, 1983 he presented himself for work only for 9 days and from 15-6-83 onwards he is absenting himself without intimation. On 28-6-83 he deposited his attendance card and left without intimation. Ext. M-3 is the notice dt. 30-7-83 giving the details of absence from April to July for 53½ days and stating that he should avoid this practice otherwise serious view will be taken. Ext. M-2 is the report that the workman again absented himself from 22-8-83. Ext. M-1 is the notice striking off the name of the workman under Clause 15.5 leave rules and Clause 19 of Certified Standing Orders.

Thus it is proved that the workman is a habitual absentee without intimation and finally absented himself from 20-8-83. Thus the misconduct is proved against him.

Besides this C1. 19-E of the Certificate Standing Orders says that :

"If a workman remains absent without permission or overstays the period of leave originally granted or subsequently extended, he shall lose lien on his appointment and his name will automatically stand struck off from the rolls of the Company unless he returns within ten days of the expiry of the leave or the date from which he absented and explains to the satisfaction of the management his absence without permission or inability to return on the expiry of the leave."

There was a conciliation settlement between the management and the union and Clause 15.5 of the Annexure 9 of the settlement dt. 23-5-80 says—

"15.5. If a workman remains absent unauthorisedly for more than 10 days continuously he shall be deemed to have lost his lien on his appointment unless he—

- (a) returns within 10 days of the date his losing the lien; and
- (b) explains to the satisfaction of the management the reasons for unauthorised absence."

This settlement is binding on the parties under sub-section 3 of Section 18 of I.D. Act.

I thus find that the action of the management of Malanj-khand Copper Project of Hindustan Copper Ltd. in striking off the name of Shri Prakash, Sweeper from the rolls of Project w.e.f. 20-9-83 is justified. He is, therefore, not entitled to any relief.

The reference is answered accordingly.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer  
[No. 43012(2)|84-D.III(B)]

का०आ० 1851.—औद्योगिक विषाव अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भारतीय खाद्य मित्राम, नागपुर के प्रबंधताल से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विषाव में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1851.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL  
TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT,

JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(63)|1985.

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation o the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur (M.S.) and their workmen, four in number mentioned in the Schedule to the reference, represented by F.C.I. Employees Association, C/o F.C.I. Ajni, Nagpur (M.S.).

#### APPEARANCES :

For workmen.—S|Shri N. K. Shukla and Ahuja.

For management.—Shri N. Sunderam, Distt. Manager F.C.I.

INRUSTRY : Food Corporation DISTRICT : Nagpur (M.S.)

#### AWARD

Dated : March 27, 1986.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, Government of India in the Ministry of Labour referred the following dispute vide Notification No. L-42012(8)|85-D.V Dated 19th July, 1985, for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur in denying the claim of charge|deployment allowance to Gr. II Assistants (Depot & Technical) for performing duties of higher post of Grade I for the period noted against each is justified? If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled?"

#### LIST OF WORKMEN

S. No.	Name	Period of performing duties of higher grade post
1.	Shri T. U. Kamble, A.Gr.II	4-5-83 to 31-10-1984
2.	Shri S. M. Padalkar, T.A.Gr.II	5-10-83 to 22-12-1984
3.	Shri R. V. Pade, T.A.Gr.II	12-10-83 to date.
4.	Shri H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.Gr.II	5-1-1982 to 3-10-1984

2. The case of the applicant Shri T. U. Kamble is that he was working as A.G. II(D) under the Incharge of G/Shed Shri M. F. Nagarkar AG. II(D) who was transferred vide order dated 3-5-1985 and Shri Kamble was asked to work as Incharge of G/Shed in his place till substituted arrangement is made. Thus he performed the duties of higher grade with effect from 4-5-1983 to 31-10-1984, the date on which he handed over his charge to Shri T. I. Ashnani.

3. Similarly Shri S. M. Padalkar T.A. G.II was working at Mishal Godown under T.A.G. I, Shri A. N. Patil who was transferred vide order dated 6-10-1983 and Shri S. M. Padalkar was ordered to perform the duties of T.A. G.I in place of Shri A. N. Patil till the additional staff was posted at A.R.D.C. Godown. Again Shri A. N. Patil, T.A.G.I was transferred from Mishra Godown to Mishal Godown vide order dated 18-12-1984. Thus Shri S. M. Padalkar, T.A.G.II performed the duties of T.A.G.I in absence of Shri A. N. Patil with effect from 5-10-83 to 23-4-1984.

4. The cases of other workmen viz. S|Shri R. V. Pandey, T.A.G.II and H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.G.II are also similar. The management has not paid the deployment allowance as per the circular No. 162 dated 9-11-1979.

5. The case of the management is that job description clearly states that Assistant Grade II(D) may be assigned work including duties listed in A.G.I(D) and A.G.II(D). working is not holding charge of the Railway Good Shed. He Further Railway Good Shed is not a Depot and the persons

only performs duties within the ambit of job description. Stocks are either with the Railway or with the road carrier during transit. Secondly there is no sanctioned post of A.G.I (Depot) and the charge allowances are paid only when a lower rank official operates the higher post in the substantive vacancy. This is so far as it relates to Shri T. U. Kamble.

6. As regards Technical Assistants Gr. II viz S|Shri S. M. Padalkar, R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh they were performing only duties laid down for technical Assistant Gr. II and there was absolutely no extraction of unspecified work. Mere absence of A.G.I (Technical) in will not any way mean that Assistants Grade II (Technical) were performing what was not listed in the job description.

7. In support of their case, the workmen have relied on the office order, dated 9-11-1979 of the Head Office, New Delhi which lays down rates of deployment allowances revised from 1st April 1979. Management led no evidence to show that it has been superseded. The management has only filed the so called job description of the Asstt. Grade II (Depot) and the Technical Assistant Gr. II. Firstly the same has not been formally proved. Secondly the job descriptions of Asstt. Grade I and Technical Grade I have not been filed for comparison. Thirdly it says that the lower range of duties of A.G.I(D)|Technical Assistant Gr. I overlaps with the higher range of duties of A.G.III(D) and higher range of duties of A.G.II(D) overlaps with the lower range of duties of A.G.I(D). Thus it shows that the lower range of the higher grade overlaps with the lower grade. But the higher range of the higher grade does not overlap with the lower range. Thus there appears to be difference in duties though it may be notional. No doubt that is why the department thought it fit to provide for the deployment allowances from top to bottom if they are deployed to do the work of higher range as is apparent from the office circular of the head office, New Delhi, dated 9-11-1979, Col. 5 thereof provides deployment allowances @ Rs. 25 p.m. for Assistant Gr. II for working in the higher grade of A.G.I. Thus it is crystal clear that those workmen who have shouldered the responsibility of higher grade by virtue of being deployed to that post they are entitled to the deployment allowances.

8. Question arises which of the applicants did work. In this regard the position of Shri T. U. Kamble is almost admitted and it is also proved from Ex. W|1 office order dated 3-5-1983 whereby Shri T. U. Kamble was asked to work in place of Shri M. F. Nagarkar, A.G.I(D) from the date of taking over the charge and Ex. W|2 dated 13-10-1984 whereby he was ordered to be relieved by Shri T. I. Ashnani. Thus his case is proved.

9. Next is the case of Shri S. M. Padalkar, Ex. W|3 is the order dated 6-10-1983 regarding him. He was ordered to replace Shri A. N. Patil Gr. I (Technical). Ex. W|4 dated 18-12-1984 is the transfer order of Shri A. N. Patil to relieve Shri S. M. Padalkar. These two documents prove that Shri Padalkar was deployed to do the work of Gr. I (Technical) from 6-10-1983 to 22-12-1984. Thus his claim is also proved.

10. In the case of S|Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh T.A.G II management denied that they were deployed to do the work of higher grade. In order to prove their case only Shri R. V. Pande (W.W.I) has been examined. His statement shows that he himself does not know what is his claim. He speaks about October 1981 and about the year 1983 also. Regarding the relevant period from 12-10-1983 to date he has stated that on 21-9-1982 he was transferred to new godown Unit No. 1 where there was no Gr. I so he was doing the work of Gr. I till 11-1-1985 but no order in writing has been produced or proved. Simply because he was posted to place where there was no one of the next higher grade it does not entitle him to the deployment allowance. The deployment allowance is only admissible when actually made to do the work of higher grade and not because there was no one of the higher grade.

11. As far Shri H. D. Deshmukh there is not an iota of evidence to show that he was deployed to do the job of higher grade with effect from 5-1-1982 to 3-10-1984.

12. For the reasons discussed above I find that the claim of S|Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh, T.A.G II fails and they are not entitled to any relief.

13. However, in view of my findings above I answer the reference as follows :—

That the action of the management of Food Corporation of India, Nagpur, in denying the claim of deployment allowance to Gr. II Assistants (Depot and Technical) which Shri T. U. Kamble and Shri S. M. Padalkar were performing the duties of higher Gr. I for the period mentioned in this award is not justified. Therefore they are entitled to deployment allowances admissible as per rule for the following period.—

1. Shri T. U. Kamble—From 4-5-1983 to 31-10-1984.  
2. Shri S. M. Padalkar—From 6-10-1983 to 22-12-1984. But the action regarding S|Shri R. V. Pande and H. D. Deshmukh is justified and they are not entitled to any relief. Management will further pay costs of Rs. 50 each to S|Shri Kamble and Padalkar. No order as to costs regarding S|Shri Pande and Deshmukh.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer.

[No. L-42012(8)|85-D.V]

कांगड़ा 1852.—आंदोलिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की बारे 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एम०पी० स्टेट माइनिंग कॉर्पोरेशन, डूमरपारा डोलोमाइट माइन्स, बड़ाद्वार के प्रबंधतात्र से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट आंदोलिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार आंदोलिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर (म०प्र०) के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 10-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1852.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of M.P. State Mining Corporation, Dumarpura Dolomite Mines, Baradwar and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 10th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM-  
LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)  
Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(2)|1982

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of M.P. State Mining Corporation Dumarpura Dolomite Mines, Baraduar and their workmen, Shri Surender Singh, Mining Mate Helper, represented through the Samyuktak Khadan Mazdoor Sangh, P.O. Baraduar, Distt. Bilaspur (MP).

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri Moniram Yadav.

For management—Shri R. P. Mishra.

INDUSTRY : Mining Corporation DISTRICT : Bilaspur (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated the 7th April, 1986

Ministry of Labour in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputed Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred the following dispute vide Notification No. L-29012/6/81-D.III(B) dated 5th June, 1982, for adjudication:—

"Whether the action of the management of Messrs M. P. State Mining Corporation, Dumarpura Dolomite Mines, Baraduar in terminating the services of

Shri Surender Singh, Mining Mate Helper with effect from 10th August 1981 is justified ? If not, to what relief is the concerned worker entitled ?

2. On receipt of the reference order parties were noticed to file their statements of claims, rejoinders and documents. After the reading of the pleadings and documents by the parties my learned predecessor framed issues for determination of the dispute.

3. The management has contended that the workman concerned, Shri Surender Singh was entrusted with the work of controlling measurement of Store in the wooden boxes. He was also required to maintain a proper record of box measurements and submit his report for billing purposes. On verification of stock on crusher side and its physical measurement, shortage of 557.53 tonnes in the stock was reported. He did not keep a proper record and he tampered the original entry with vested interest. He also booked measurement in the names of workers on dates when they were absent from work on those dates. On the basis, he was issued a charge-sheet on 25-11-1978. He submitted a reply denying the allegation. Thereafter a proper departmental enquiry was conducted against the workman. He was given full opportunity to defend himself, cross examine the prosecution witnesses and examine defence witnesses. He never complained against the enquiry and was fully satisfied during the enquiry. He was found guilty of the allegation made against him and therefore the management thought it fit to terminate his services because of the proved misconduct.

4. The workman denied all the allegations made in the statement of claim of the management and pleaded he was not served with specific charge and allegations, he was not given full opportunity in the so called enquiry; Enquiry Officer was biased and he had the personal knowledge of the case, principles of natural justice were violated by not affording reasonable opportunity to him and therefore the termination of his services is illegal, improper, unfair labour practice. He therefore be reinstated with all attendant benefits.

5. On these pleadings of the parties my learned predecessor framed the following issues :—

#### ISSUES

1. Whether the management held a proper and legal enquiry against the workman ?
2. Whether the findings of the Enquiry Officer were justified on facts as well as in law ?
3. Whether the management was justified in awarding the punishment of dismissal from service to the workman ?
4. To what relief are the parties entitled to ?

6. When the case was at the stage of evidence and arguments parties stated that the matter is under negotiation, for which they were given time to file settlement. Ultimately on 17-3-1985 parties submitted an application praying for an award as they have settled the dispute. A copy of the settlement signed by Shri Maniram Yadav, Secretary, S.K.M.S. Baraduar and Shri C. K. Chauhan, Prabandh Sachai, M.P. State Mining Corporation has been filed.

7. I have gone through the settlement arrived at between the parties the terms of which are as under :—

1. That the Corporation will reinstate Shri Surender Singh with continuity of his past service.
2. That Shri Surender Singh will be fixed in the pay scale on the basis of his seniority.
3. That Shri Surender Singh will not get the wages with effect from the date of termination to the date of joining the service. The aforesaid period will be treated as leave without pay.
4. That the Corporation has agreed to pay Rs. 2,000 to Shri Surender Singh as ex gratia payment.

The above terms of settlement appear to be reasonable, fair and in the interest of the workman. I therefore, my award in accordance with the above terms of settlement. Parties will bear their own costs.

[No. L-29012(6)/81-D.III (B)  
V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

पर्द इन्होंने 25 अप्रैल, 1986

कांग्रेस 18/3-औद्योगिक विभाग अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसार में, केन्द्रीय सरकार भव लैन्सिंग कंटरी, जबलपुर के प्रबंधतात्र से सम्बद्ध विषयोंजैसे और उभक कर्मकारी के बीच अनुबन्ध में विदिष्ट औद्योगिक विभाग में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकारी, जबलपुर (एम०प०) के पंचाट की प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

New Delhi, the 25th April, 1986

S.R. 1853—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (M.P.) as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur (M.P.) and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE MR. V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL  
COM-L BOOK COURT JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(68)/1984

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Gun Carriage Factory, Jabalpur and their workman, Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' C/o Shri S. Satwant Singh, Near Post Office Bilchari, Mandla Road, Jabalpur (M.P.)

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri Rajendra Menon, Advocate.  
For management—Shri A. K. Chaube, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Gun Factory DISTRICT : Jabalpur (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated, March 25, 1986

This is a reference made by the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour in exercise of its powers conferred under Section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) for adjudication of the following dispute, vide Notification No. I-14012(7)/83-D.II (B) dated 5th September, 1984 :—

"Whether the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory Jabalpur in removing Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' from service with effect from 9-9-1980 is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman concerned entitled?"

2. Non-controversial facts of the case are that the workman was appointed as 'A' Grade Fitter in the Gun Carriage Factory on 2-1-1980 and was promoted as Supervisor Grade 'B' on 1-8-1974. The workman was given a charge-sheet on 26-3-1979 alleging admitted theft of Government property. After the enquiry his services terminated vide order dated 9-9-1980 by the General Manager. He preferred an appeal to the Chairman which was also dismissed on 22-1-1981.

3. The case of the management is that the workman, Shri Satwant Singh Purba, was once warned for neglect of duty during the tenure of service. On 14-3-1979 he applied for leave and handed while mustering out and was found in an unauthorised possession of two Brass Pieces weighing about 3.520 Kgs. the property of the management. The Orderly Officer recorded the statement of witnesses and

the workman and noted that initially the workman for pardon stating that this was his first offence and he was reluctant to give statement.

4. The domestic enquiry was conducted under the procedure prescribed and afforded adequate opportunity for defence in accordance with natural justice. The charge was found proved, hence penalty.

5. The case of the workman is that after finishing the work in time he was to check wells and pumps at the main office building. For this purpose he had kept his cycle with a basket outside under a Neem Tree. When he finished his work Durban Babu Lal, came and took him to Security Gate Keeper on duty. He was interrogated and it was alleged that his basket contains some doubtful material. Security personnel took his forcible statement and signatures inspite of protest. The enquiry held against him is vitiated on various grounds narrated in the statement of claim.

6. I framed the following preliminary Issue No. 1 which with my reasons and findings are as under :—

#### Preliminary Issue

1. Whether the domestic enquiry is legal and proper ? Findings with reasons :

7. In the course of arguments only four grounds are urged before me. The first ground is that the charge contained only three witnesses but the Presenting Officer was allowed to examine more witnesses to fill up the lacuna in the case. The record of enquiry which is not challenged before me shows that an application was filed by the Presenting Officer on 6-11-1979 (Ex. M/6) stating that Shri Manikoor, Security Supervisor, was present on the same day therefore he wishes to examine him as a witness. The order-sheet dated 11-11-1979 (Ex. M/7) goes to show that the defence was given an opportunity of about five days and the application was taken up on 11-11-1979. Both the parties were heard at length and the Presenting Officer also informed that he may examine one Dilip Singh and recall Rajgopalan as well. On this point of examining Dilip Singh and re-examining Rajgopalan parties were heard at length as the order sheet discloses. It was after hearing both the parties at length that the prayer was allowed. Thus it cannot be said that any prejudice has been caused to the defence. The presence of these witnesses at the time of incident stands unchallenged.

8. Next ground urged before me is that the findings of the Enquiry Officer is perverse. He has not marshalled the prosecution evidence but simply distrusted the defence. It is true that there are some minor discrepancies in the statement of prosecution but the same are on minor point and not sufficient to discredit the testimony. In his enquiry report, Ex. M/11 learned Enquiry Officer has discussed the prosecution evidence keeping in view the safe rule of findings of corroboration from other evidence. He also got the stolen property weighed in presence of parties and stated that the Brass rods found in the pocket generally confirmed to the description mentioned in the memorandum. He has also discussed the defence evidence and gave his reasons for rejecting the testimony. I thus do not find any perversity in his finding.

9. The third ground urged that there is no evidence that the property seized from the possession of the delinquent official was government property. It has come out in the evidence that the material was sealed at the time of seizure and signed by the witnesses. The seal was open in the presence of the Enquiry Officer and the same were weighed. The actual weight of the rods tallied. It is the case of the workman that he was coming out after performing his duty and it is not his case that it was his personal property. Once possession is proved burden was on the delinquent officer to explain his possession. In the circumstances unless official claims the property of his own there is hardly any justification in the contention raised regarding ownership of the property. I thus find that on this ground also the enquiry is not vitiated.

10. Last ground that has been urged before me is that the Enquiry Officer acted as a Prosecutor and elaborately cross-examined the prosecution and defence witnesses. These contentions are not wholly justified. The main witness Babu Lal, was examined on 29-8-1981 vide Ex. M/3. He was examined by the Presenting Officer and cross-examined by the Assisting Officer. Thereafter next witness, R. B. Nilakar-

was examined (Ex. M/4) by the Presenting Officer. The Enquiry Officer asked him about 14 questions and thereafter he was cross-examined by the Assisting Officer. Putting a few questions by the Enquiry Officer or the Court is nowhere prohibited. After the questions of the Enquiry Officer the Assisting Officer was allowed to cross-examine the witness. Thus no prejudice was caused. Same is the position with respect to witnesses S. Rajagopalan (Ex. M/5), Y. R. Mahanoor (Ex. M/8), Dilip Singh (Ex. M/9) and defence witnesses Kishan Lal (Ex. M/10), Dasrath Yadav (Ex. M/11) and S. S. Paiwa (Ex. M/12). As already pointed out simply because the Enquiry Officer put a few questions to the witnesses it cannot be said that he acted as a Prosecutor.

11. Order-sheet dated 23rd August 1979 (Ex. M/2) goes to show that he was furnished the documents which were demanded by him. He was also given reasonable opportunity to examine his defence witnesses. He was also allowed the help of Assisting Officer. Nothing material is shown to me which may be said to be against natural justice or biased attitude of the Enquiry Officer. In the circumstances, I find that the domestic enquiry is legal and proper and it is not in any way vitiated. I therefore hold that the action of the management of Gun Carriage Factory Jabalpur in removing Shri Satwant Singh Purba, Supervisor 'B' with effect from 9-9-1980 is justified. Looking to the nature of misconduct punishment awarded to the applicant cannot said to be excessive. He is, therefore, not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

[No. L-14012(7)/83-D.H (B)]

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

का०आ० 1854—औदोगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यह प्रबंधक, पश्चिमी रेलवे रत्नाम के प्रबंधताव से सम्बद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच अनुबन्ध में निर्दिष्ट औदोगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औदोगिक अधिकरण, जबलपुर के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 9-4-86 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

S.O. 1854.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Jabalpur (MP), as shown in the Annexure, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of General Manager, Western Railway, Chandigarh/Divisional Railway Manager, Western Railway, Ratlam and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 9th April, 1986.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI V. S. YADAV, PRESIDING OFFICER,  
CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-  
CUM-LABOUR COURT, JABALPUR (M.P.)

Case No. CGIT/LC(R)(1)/1985

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of General Manager, Western Railway, Churchgate/D.R.M. Railway Ratlam and their workmen, Shri Ghisoolal Pal, represented by Shri S. D. Agashe Secretary, Paschim Railway Karamchari Parishad, 229-C, Rajendra Nagar, Indore (M.P.)

#### APPEARANCES :

For workman—Shri S. D. Agashe.

For management—Shri G. L. Gupta, Advocate.

INDUSTRY : Railways DISTRICT : Ratlam (M.P.)

#### AWARD

Dated, March 24, 1986

In exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) Central Government in the Ministry of

Labour has referred the following dispute, for adjudication, vide Notification No. L-41011(3)/82-D.II (B) dated 26th December, 1984 :—

“Whether the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Ratlam Division in reverting Shri Ghisoolal Pal from the Post of Ticket Collector to the post of Coach Attendant vide their order No. E/1.839.4.1 Vol. V/E/T/1021/47/Vol. IV dated 1-4-1976 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?”

2. Facts leading to the present reference are that Shri Ghisoolal Pal was working as Coach Attendant in Ratlam Division, Western Railway. He was selected on ad hoc basis and taken on panel as Ticket Collector under the promotion policy from Class IV to Class III service vide order dated 19-12-1974 (Ex. W/1). He was sent to Zonal Training School Udaipur for training as T. C. vide order dated 12/74 (Ex. W/2). After successful training he was posted as officiating Ticket Collector vide order dated 31-3-1975 (Ex. W/3) and he worked as such from 12-4-75 to 6-7-1976. However, the panel declared order dated 19-12-74 was treated as cancelled vide order dated 28-11-1975 (Ex. W/4) and a fresh panel was formed vide order dated 26-3-1976 (Ex. M/3) when the workman was reverted back as Coach Attendant vide order dated 15-10-1984 (Ex. M/6). However, he applied for popular category for which he was found fit and he was selected for the post of Assistant Goods Clerk vide order dated 3-8-1977 (Ex. M/4) but he did not join. Thereafter he again applied for selection held in 1979 and he was selected for the post of Assistant Goods Clerk vide order dated 21-7-1980 (Ex. M/5) but he again did not join. Finally the workman applied for the selection held in the year 1983 and he was selected for the post of Ticket Collector vide order dated 15-10-1984 (Ex. M/6) and he joined on the said post on 13-4-1985. The above facts are also not disputed before me as is also apparent from the statement of workman (WW-1) and Bashermal, Head Clerk (MW-1). The workman moved the Assistant Labour Commissioner but the conciliation efforts failed hence this reference.

3. The case of the applicant further is that he was reverted back without assigning any reason and in his place an unauthorised posting of Switchman and Cabinman was done as Ticket Collector in contravention of Rule 110 of Establishment Manual page 118/120. The workman moved his case to the higher authorities but without success.

4. The case of the management further is that the workman himself admitted that his posting as a Ticket Collector was on officiating basis which was subject to the position in final panel and do not create any right for regularisation. The workman had not secured qualifying marks in the written test therefore his name was deleted from the panel specially mentioning that he had to appear for the written test afresh. As per the channel of promotion enforced at that time Cabinman and Switchman were eligible for selection to the post of Ticket Collector etc. Para 110 of the Establishment Manual does not indicate that the Switchman and Cabinman are not eligible for promotion to the Ticket Collector's posts. The workman was offered the post for which he was selected but he wilfully declined to join. As such the workman is not entitled to relief regarding his seniority and ancillary benefits.

5. I have gone through the documentary and oral evidence adduced by the parties and I have heard them. The office order dated 19-12-1974 (Ex. W/1) which is itself relief on by the workman goes to show that the selection was held on 4-11-1974 for provisional panel. It was on the basis

of this order that office order dated 1-4-1974 (Ex. M/2—Ex. W/2) was issued and they were ordered to attend practical training.

6. It is contended on behalf of the workman that he was reverted back without assigning any reason. The order may not have mentioned their reason, but there was a valid reason as has been stated by Bashermal and has been mentioned in the letter dated 30-9-1980 to the M.L.A. (Ex. W/7). It says “PANEL PER SE HATANE KA KARAN YEH HAI KI ENHONE PRAVARAN KE LIYE NIYAMANUSAR LIKHIT PARIKSHA MEN 60% SE KAM ANK PRAPT KIYE THE.” The reversion order itself clearly stated that the above mentioned persons will have to appear in the written test afresh till then they may be allowed to continue on their present posts. It appears that the workmen Ghisoolal Pal failed to qualify in the test and he was not placed on the selection panel (Ex. W/5 dated 1-4-76). As such he was reverted back.

7. The contention of the workman is that once he was selected provisionally he could not have been reverted back or asked to reappear in the test afresh. To my mind this contention is without any substance. The law on the point of ad hoc or provisional appoints is laid down in the case of S. P. Vasudeo Vs. State of Haryana (AIR 1975 SC 2292) as under :—

“Where an order of reversion of a person who had no right to the post, does not show ex facie that he was being reverted as a measure of punishment or does not cast any stigma on him, the Courts will not normally go behind that order to see if there were any motivating factors behind that order.

The Supreme Court observed that while the whole position in law was confusing it is time that the whole question was considered de novo and it would be better for all concerned and avoid a lot of avoidable litigation if it should be held that the reversion of a probationer from a higher to a lower post, or the discharge from service of a temporary servant cannot be questioned except on the basis of malafide in the making of the order.”

Reversion order of the workman does not at all show that he was reverted as a measure of punishment. Simply because some other persons viz. Switchman and Cabinman were promoted since they were found fit to replace the workman it does not mean that the act of management was malafide. In fact, while promoting the workman on ad hoc basis two persons namely Shri F. D. Qureshi and Vikram Singh who were working as Ticket Collectors purely on ad hoc basis were reverted (Ex. W/3). In fact, the workman was offered promotion twice as Assistant Goods Clerk, but he declined to join. In view of this fact it cannot be said that the present workman was discriminated or there was malafides on behalf of the management.

8. For the reasons discussed above, I find that the action of the Divisional Railway Manager, Ratlam Division in reverting Shri Ghisoolal Pal from the post of Ticket Collector to the post of Coach Attendant vide order dated 1-4-1976 is justified. He is not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

V. S. YADAV, Presiding Officer

[No. L-41011(3)/82-D.II (B)]

HARI SINGH, Desk Officer